

INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS CORE SEMINAR I: International Relations Theory

Political Science 220A

Fall 2021

Wednesday, 2:00-4:50 pm, 4276 Bunche Hall

Office hours: To be arranged

<https://moodle2.sscnet.ucla.edu/course/view/21F-POLSCI220A-1>

Partial Syllabus Vers. 1.1 (Interim, subject to revision)

Professor Arthur Stein

Course description. This is a graduate introduction to international relations theory. You will be introduced to the disparate substantive concerns, analytic approaches, schools of thought, theoretical perspectives, explanatory arguments, styles of research and methodologies to be found in the subfield. You will gain a sense of the breadth, the hyperpluralism, of the field. You will find that some of the readings will have approaches, and especially methods, with which you are unfamiliar. In fact, there are some papers you will have trouble reading. Do the best you can, and read around material you cannot follow. You will thereby learn what areas of illiteracy you have (and this can help guide you in future course selection) and you will develop the skill of understanding an argument without knowing everything that went in its construction.

At the end, you will know a lot about international relations theory, but you will also have a good sense of what you don't know. You may find that we will not pay enough attention to those approaches you like and spend too much time on work you don't like. You may even experience frustration by the fact that no one particular approach is pushed in the course. You will be better able to choose the portions of the field you find appealing and the sets of skills you still need to attain in order to specialize in those areas.

Think of the following questions as you read.

- With whom are the authors engaged in debate?
- What do the authors want to explain (the dependent variable for social scientists, the explanandum or effect for others)?
- What explains the phenomenon in question (the independent variable, explanans, or cause)?
- What is the analytic link between cause and effect (“mechanisms”)? Why is the basis for believing/expecting a relationship between cause and effect?
- From what theoretical perspective does the argument originate?
- How are cause and effect conceptualized?
- How are cause and effect observed?
- How are observations selected for analysis?
- What kind of research design is employed?

The readings present competing theories embodying different approaches and arguments, and provide examples of different strategies of demonstration and assessment.

You should think of yourselves as apprentices learning about a field and its practices. Although your primary focus is on the specific arguments developed in the works you read, you should keep an eye on as much else as possible. In what journals does work appear? Do different styles of work appear in different journals (some day you will be an author thinking about where to submit your work)? Who and what is cited? What distinguishes works you like from those that you don't? Are there any stylistic devices you like? For example, does the author elucidate candidate explanations at the beginning or unveil them sequentially? How does the author prepare you for what is going to transpire in the course of your reading the work? ...

This is a partial draft syllabus (a beta version), changes may be made and you will receive updates.

Course requirements: Reading (a lot of it), participation, four short papers, and a research proposal.

Reading: Yes, I have assigned a lot of readings. A skill you will need to develop is how to read quickly (i.e., skim). No one can read everything and with the same care as the author(s), and no one can remember every detail of a piece of work. What we recall are the arguments being made and where they fit into a literature, and we recall some details of the design and the empirical or formal support. Our focus is not to go through a proof or the intricate details of an experiment or statistical assessment. Rather, it is to ascertain the argument and its assumptions, the basis for its support, its analytic implications and theoretical alternatives. So first and foremost, read for thesis and think about the relationship of each piece to the others assigned.

Note that after the assigned readings, I have listed papers other papers for further exploration. Some are classics, some I used to assign, some capture topics that used to garner a lot of attention and will again the future, and some are recent contributions. They are there for reference so do not feel the need to read them. But scan them, get a feel for topics and titles and scholars and journals. In some cases, books listed in the section on twentieth century classics are not relisted as items for further study at the end of individual sessions. There are also supplements at the end of the syllabus.

Participation: Please come to each class session and come prepared.

Bi-weekly memos: Every other week write a brief memo on one of the assigned readings (I will create a Doodle sign up so each person does a different paper and so that half the course is writing each week). You will upload your memo to a Box folder where other class participants will have access to it. For the reading you are discussing identify:

- What is the research question?
- What is the theoretical argument?
- What is the unit of analysis? (That is, the attributes and behavioral outcomes of what actors are in play: individual, groups, states, non-state actors, international systems)
- What evidence is provided to support the argument?
- Why is the argument important?
- What is one major critique of the argument?

Research design: By the end of exam week (Dec. 10, 2021), you must submit a 10 page research design. This document should achieve the following tasks:

- Identify a research question and explain why it matters
- Briefly situate the question within the relevant existing literature
- Outline a theoretical argument
- Provide a plan for how you would collect evidence to support your argument
- Address two possible critiques or counter-arguments

Your research design may address any of the substantive topics covered in the course. You may also choose a different topic, subject to approval. *You must have your topic approved by the end of October.*

SCHEDULE

1. Introduction to the Field (Sep. 29, 2021, ≈ 183pp.)
2. Anarchy, Power, and the Balance of Power (Oct. 6, 2021 ≈ 305 pp.)
3. Strategic Choice and War (Oct. 13, 2021, ≈ 298 pp.)
4. Military Doctrine, Grand Strategy, and Weapons (Oct. 20, 2021, ≈ 311 pp.)
5. Domestic Politics (Oct. 27, 2021, ≈ 308 pp.)
6. Domestic Institutions and Individual Decision-making (Nov. 3, 2021, ≈ 276pp.)
7. International Political Economy and Networks (Nov. 10, 2021, ≈ 325 pp.)
8. International Institutions (Nov. 17, 2021, ≈ 304 pp.)
9. Ideas and Ideology (Nov. 24 or Dec. 1, 2020, ≈ 283 pp.)
10. International Bases of Domestic Politics and Domestic Conflict (Dec. 1 or Dec. 8, 2021, ≈ 302 pp.)

Contents

Course description	1
Course requirements	2
Schedule	3
1 Introduction to the Field (Sep. 29, 2021, ≈ 183pp.)	10
1.1 The Roots and Development of International Relations	10
1.2 Isms and Approaches	11
1.3 Where Goes the Field?	11
1.4 Theory and Policy	11
For further study	11
Conceptual issues	11
Levels of analysis	11
Qualitative methods: case studies	12
Historical method	12
Necessary and sufficient	12
Counterfactuals	12
Quantitative methods	12
Experiments	12
Case selection	13
Selection bias	13
Theoretical relevance	13
Policy relevance	13
Disciplinary history and sociology	13
Thinkers and IR	14
Biographies of IR scholars and their personal statements	14
Texts as personal statements	14
Replication crisis in social science	14
Causality	14
Mechanisms	14
2 Anarchy, Power, and the Balance of Power (Oct. 6, 2021 ≈ 305 pp.)	15
2.1 Anarchy, Power and Order	15
2.2 Systems Theory	15
2.3 Balance of Power	15
2.4 Power Transition	15
2.5 Measuring Power	16
2.6 Alliances	16
2.7 Hegemony	16
2.8 Empires	16
2.9 Hierarchy	16
2.10 International Society	16
For further study	16
On anarchy	16
Systems Theory	16
Balance of power	17
Histories of the balance of power	17
Measuring power	17

Realism and other isms	17
Waltz and neorealism	18
Criticisms of neorealism	18
Neoclassical realism	18
Bipolarity vs. multipolarity	18
Variants of unipolar arguments: power transition, long cycle, power cycle, hegemonic stability, hierarchy	18
Dealing with rise and decline	18
Debating hegemonic decline (declinism)	19
Thucydides (and the ancients) and realism	19
On Hobbes as a realist	19
Early moderns and the balance of power	19
Alliances	19
Historical cut points	20
Empire, Hierarchy and Authority	20
Civilizations	20
International Society and the English School	20
Miscellaneous	20
3 Strategic Choice and War (Oct. 13, 2021, ≈ 298 pp.)	21
3.1 A Strategic-Choice Approach	21
3.2 Cooperation Under Anarchy	21
3.3 Rational Wars	21
3.4 Bargaining	21
3.5 Diplomacy and Signals	21
3.6 Limitations ?	21
For further study	21
Evolution of cooperation	21
Bargaining model of war	22
Bargaining and negotiation	22
Linkage politics and reciprocity	22
Relative gains	22
Diplomacy	22
Agent-based models	22
Guns/butter	22
Miscellaneous	23
4 Military Doctrine, Grand Strategy, and Weapons (Oct. 20, 2021, ≈ 311 pp.)	24
4.1 Security Dilemma and Deterrence	24
4.2 Status	24
4.3 Offensive/Defense Balance	24
4.4 Military Strategy: Attrition, Envelopment, Airpower	24
4.5 War Termination	24
4.6 Who Wins Wars?	24
4.7 The Nuclear Revolution	24
4.8 Nuclear Proliferation	24
4.9 Reputation	24
For further study	25
Grand Strategy	25
Military investments and tradeoffs	25
Deterrence	25

Deterrence: Historical cases	25
Status	25
Offense/Defense: The impact of technological change and perception	25
Waging war	26
Who wins war	26
War Termination	26
Trends in war	26
Decline of war	26
Managing postwar relations	26
The nuclear revolution	26
Nuclear proliferation	26
5 Domestic Politics (Oct. 27, 2021, ≈ 308 pp.)	28
5.1 Regime Type: Democracies and Autocracies	28
5.2 Domestic Audiences	28
5.3 Public Opinion	28
5.4 Political Parties	28
5.5 State/Society	28
5.6 Interests and Coalitions	28
5.7 An application: Regime type and pandemics	29
For further study	29
Domestic politics	29
Democratic peace	29
Autocracies and war/peace	29
Audiences	29
Political parties	29
Public Opinion	30
Domestic Bases of Nuclear Choices	30
Lateral pressure: Impact of industrialization and adequacy of domestic resources	30
Demography	30
Kant, liberalism, and peace	30
Political instability	30
Coalitions	30
Culture	30
Demography	31
Elections	31
Business cycle	31
6 Domestic Institutions and Individual Decision-making (Nov. 3, 2021, ≈ 276pp.)	32
6.1 Organizational Culture	32
6.2 Issue Areas	32
6.3 Two-level games	32
6.4 Individuals as Actors	32
6.5 Heterogenous Leaders	32
6.6 Cognitive Process	32
6.7 Threat Perception	33
6.8 Crisis Situations	33
For further study	33
Bureaucratic Politics (i.e., Organizational Process)	33
Institutions and Decision Making	33
Issue Areas	33

Group decision making	33
Individuals as Actors	34
Return of Human Nature	34
Personality	34
Gender	34
Leader Attributes	34
Leader Tenure (Experience)	34
Social Psychological Theories	34
Belief Systems	35
Cognitive processes	35
Misperception and motivated bias	35
Emotions	35
Experts and predictions / intuition	35
Crises	35
Miscellaneous	35
7 International Political Economy and Networks (Nov. 10, 2021, ≈ 325 pp.)	36
7.1 Economic Interdependence	36
7.2 Trade	36
7.3 Finance	36
7.4 Migration	36
7.5 Weaponizing Economic Ties	36
7.6 Networks	36
For further study	37
Economic interdependence (and the economic bases of peace)	37
Regime type, institutions, and IPE outcomes	37
Economic interests and foreign policy	37
Parties, networks and investment	37
Migration	37
Interdependence and economic coercion	37
Social Conventions	37
Networks	38
Miscellaneous	38
8 International Institutions (Nov. 17, 2021, ≈ 304 pp.)	39
8.1 Why and How	39
8.2 Design	39
8.3 Power	39
8.4 Legitimacy	39
8.5 Compliance	39
8.6 International Law and Courts	39
8.7 Pathologies	39
8.8 Application: Climate and health	40
For further study	40
Why and How	40
Evolution	40
Power and the realist critique of institutions	40
Design	40
Nature of goods/problems	40
Legitimacy	40
Compliance	41

International Institutions and Domestic Politics	41
International Organizations as Networks	41
International Law and Legalization	41
International courts	41
Pathology	41
Prohibition regimes / taboos	41
Regional integration	41
Multilateralism	41
Institutional death and irrelevance	42
Miscellaneous	42
9 Ideas and Ideology (Nov. 24 or Dec. 1, 2020, ≈ 283 pp.)	43
9.1 Social Constructions	43
9.2 World society	43
9.3 Morality	43
9.4 Rhetoric, arguing	43
For further study	43
Sovereignty and the state	43
Norms	44
Territorial Integrity Norm	44
Memory / symbols	44
Exporting ideas / ideologies	44
World society	44
Securitization	44
Post-positivism	44
Post-modernist, feminist, interpretivist, reflectivist, and critical theory	44
Ideas	45
Rhetoric, justification, argumentation	45
Epistemic communities	45
Miscellaneous	45
10 International Bases of Domestic Politics and Domestic Conflict (Dec. 1 or Dec. 8, 2021, ≈ 302 pp.)	46
10.1 The Internal Impact of International Politics	46
10.1.1 Second-image reversed	46
10.1.2 Globalization backlash	46
10.1.3 Diffusion	46
10.1.4 Size of nations	46
10.1.5 International Politics and Civil War Termination	46
10.2 IR models and domestic conflict	46
10.2.1 Ethnic conflict	47
10.2.2 Terrorist Strategies	47
10.2.3 Civilian victimization	47
10.2.4 Alignment and Alliance	47
For further study	47
Civil War	47
Terrorism	47
Effects of war	48
State size	48
Globalization and backlash	48
Miscellaneous	48

Appendices	49
Concept Labeling	49
Sources: Review essays	50
Datasets	51
Twentieth-century IR classics	52
Journals	55
On getting published	56

1. Introduction to the Field (Sep. 29, 2021, ≈ 183pp.)

The field of international relations tends to be quite self-conscious about what demarcates the field, what kind of theorizing is appropriate, what questions should be addressed, and what methods are most appropriate. Scholars name their textbooks, “International relations”, “International politics”, “global politics”, “world politics”, etc. Each title reflects a slightly different choice about the scope of the field. Is it merely about politics or all international relations? Is it merely about relations between states or does it include non-state actors and non-governmental international organizations?

All scholars are affected by current events and many in the field address contemporaneous concerns. Real world events have affected what we study, examples include world wars, limited wars, crises, nuclear weapons, the creation of international institutions, terrorism, climate change, pandemics, and so on. This has generated discussion of how closely the field should hew to current concerns, but also whether scholarship in the field is at all relevant and useful to practitioners.

Scholars debate the nature of science and theory, explanation and understanding, and reductionist versus structural explanations. They debate whether we should be developing grand theories or engage in mid-level theorizing and hypothesis testing. Does the field consist of grand debates between isms (idealism v. realism, realism v. liberalism, constructivism v. rationalism, post-positivism v. positivism) that are ultimately unresolvable or should all that be left behind? In the process scholars have readily imported theoretical and conceptual frameworks, including systems theory, structuralism, post-modernism, critical theory, and so on. See the appendix for a concept creator.

The self-consciousness is also reflected in the recent interest in the history of the discipline, and its biases. Does the field have racial roots? Has it ignored the impact of women? Is it Western?

Scholars debate the strengths and limitations of competing methods, qualitative and quantitative, historical and experimental. They debate the role of formal methods, case studies, and counterfactuals. They argue about the nature of case selection for study and the role of thought experiments.

International relations scholars have also been affected by methodological developments in others fields. Methods, approaches, and arguments have been imported from psychology and economics among other fields and include game theory, agent-based modeling, experiments, content analysis, scaling, most statistical techniques, and so on.

Finally, debates in the field also focus on the standing of the subfield. In most US universities, international relations is a subfield of political science. But in some cases in the US, and in many cases abroad, international relations is a self-standing field that draws from political science, but also history, economics, and sociology. And most recently in the US, the question has been asked whether we should “leave behind the subfield of international relations?” (Reiter 2015, see below).

In short, and as I will develop more fully in class, international relations is affected both by developments in the real world and in the world of scholarship. The field has grappled with changes in technology, in the distribution of power, changes in domestic governance arrangements, and so on. And as I will suggest, two core problems are the absence of an agreed upon dependent variable and those that are proffered are non-recurring.

Please read (skim) the following before our first class session. We are not likely to discuss the pieces, but I will talk about the issues in my lecture on the evolution of the field. We will also have personal introductions.

1.1 The Roots and Development of International Relations

Kahler, Miles. 1997. Inventing international relations: international relations theory since 1945. In *New thinking in international relations theory*, edited by Michael W. Doyle and G. John Ikenberry, 20-53. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

- Vitalis, Robert. 2015. Introduction: A Mongrel American Science. In *White World Order, Black Power Politics: The Birth of American International Relations*, 1-23. Cornell University Press.
- Hutchings, Kimberly and Patricia Owens. 2021. Women Thinkers and the Canon of International Thought: Recovery, Rejection, and Reconstitution. *American Political Science Review* 115(2): 347-359. Skim.

1.2 Isms and Approaches

- Hollis, Martin. and Steve Smith. 1990. Introduction: Two Traditions. In *Explaining and Understanding International Relations*, 1-15. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lake, David A. 2011. Why “isms” Are Evil: Theory, Epistemology, and Academic Sects as Impediments to Understanding and Progress. *International Studies Quarterly* 55: 465-480. Skim.
- Mearsheimer, John J. and Stephen M. Walt. 2013. Leaving Theory Behind: Why Simplistic Hypothesis Testing is Bad for International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations* 19 (3): 427-457. Skim.

1.3 Where Goes the Field?

- Reiter, Dan. 2015. Should We Leave Behind the Subfield of International Relations? *Annual Review of Political Science* 18 (1): 481-499.
- Colgan, J.D. 2016. Where is International Relations Going? Evidence From Graduate Training. *International Studies Quarterly* 60 (3): 486-498. Skim.

1.4 Theory and Policy

- Avey, Paul C., Michael C. Desch, Eric Parajon, Susan Peterson, Ryan Powers and Michael J. Tierney. 2021. Does Social Science Inform Foreign Policy? Evidence From a Survey of US National Security, Trade, and Development Officials. *International Studies Quarterly*, forthcoming. (19pp)
- Musgrave, Paul. 2021. Political Science Has Its Own Lab Leaks. *Foreign Policy* July 3. (9 pp)

For further study

Conceptual issues

- Rosecrance, Richard N. 1961. Categories, Concepts, and Reasoning in the Study of International Relations. *Behavioral Science* 6 (3): 222-231.
- Harris, Marvin. 1976. History and Significance of the Emic/Etic Distinction. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 5: 329-350.
- Dessler, David. 1989. What's at stake in the agent-structure debate? *International Organization* 43 (3): 441-473.
- Jahn, B. 2017. Theorizing the Political Relevance of International Relations Theory. *International Studies Quarterly* 61(1): 64-77.
- Gunitsky, Seva. 2019. Rival visions of parsimony. *International Studies Quarterly* 63(3): 707-716.

Levels of analysis

- Jervis, Robert. 1976. Perception and the Level of Analysis Problem. In *Perception and Misperception in International Politics*, 13-31. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Ray, James Lee. 2001. Integrating Levels of Analysis in World Politics. *Journal of Theoretical Politics* 13, 41: 355-88.
- Singer, J. David. 1961. The level-of-analysis problem in international relations. *World Politics* 14: 77-92. Reprinted in *The international system*, ed. Klaus Knorr and Sidney Verba, pp. 77-92.
- Waltz, Kenneth N. 1959. *Man, the State and War: A Theoretical Analysis*, chap. 1. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Wendt, Alexander. 1987. The Agent-Structure Problem in International Relations Theory. *International Organization* 41, 3 (Summer): 335-70.

Qualitative methods: case studies

- George, Alexander L. 1979. Case studies and theory development. In *Diplomacy: new approaches in history, theory, and policy*. ed. Paul Gordon Lauren, 43-68. New York: Free Press. Especially pp. 54-68. This article is invariably cited as justification by anyone doing cases studies in international relations.
- George, Alexander L. and Andrew Bennett. 2005. *Case studies and theory development in the social sciences*. BCSIA studies in international security. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press.
- Gerring, J. and R. McDermott. 2007. An experimental template for case study research. *American Journal of Political Science* 51(3): 688-701.
- Mahoney, James. 2000. Strategies of Causal Inference in Small-N Analysis. *Sociological Methods & Research* 28(4): 387-424.
- Mahoney, James. 2003. Strategies of Causal Assessment in Comparative Historical Analysis. *Comparative historical analysis in the social sciences* 337-372.
- Mahoney, James and Gary Goertz. 2006. A Tale of Two Cultures: Contrasting Quantitative and Qualitative Research. *Political Analysis* 14(3): 227-249.
- Bennett, Andrew and Colin Elman. 2006. Qualitative Research: Recent Developments in Case Study Methods. *Annual Review of Political Science* 9: 455-476.
- Bennett, Andrew and Colin Elman. 2006. Complex Causal Relations and Case Study Methods: The Example of Path Dependence *Political Analysis* 14(3): 250-267.
- Elman, Colin, John Gerring and James Mahoney. 2016. Case Study Research. *Sociological Methods & Research* 45(3): 375-391.

Historical method

- Trachtenberg, Marc. 2005. *The craft of international history: a guide to method*. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press.
- Hobson, John M. and George Lawson. 2008. What is History in International Relations? *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 37(2): 415-435.

Necessary and sufficient

- Braumoeller, B.F. and G. Goertz. 2000. The methodology of necessary conditions. *American Journal of Political Science* 44: 844-858.
- Goertz, Gary and Harvey Starr, eds. 2002. *Necessary conditions: theory, methodology, and applications*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Levy, Jack S. and Gary Goertz. 2007. *Explaining war and peace: case studies and necessary condition counterfactuals*. New York: Routledge.

Counterfactuals

- Capocchia, G. and R. D. Kelemen. 2007. The study of critical junctures - theory, narrative, and counterfactuals in historical institutionalism. *World Politics* 59: 341.
- King, G. and L. C. Zeng. 2007. When can history be our guide? The pitfalls of counterfactual inference. *International Studies Quarterly* 51(1): 183-210. And the pieces that follow.
- Fearon, James D. 1991. Counterfactuals and hypothesis testing in political science. *World Politics* 43: 169-195.
- Tetlock, Philip and Aaron Belkin. 1996. *Counterfactual thought experiments in world politics: logical, methodological, and psychological perspectives*. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press.

Quantitative methods

- Beck, N., G. King and L.C. Zeng. 2000. Improving quantitative studies of international conflict: A conjecture. *American Political Science Review* 94: 21-35.
- Box-Steffensmeier, J. M., D. Reiter and C. Zorn. 2003. Nonproportional hazards and event history analysis in international relations. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 47(1): 33-53.
- King, Gary. 1989. Event count models for international relations: generalizations and applications. *International Studies Quarterly* 33: 123-.
- Sprinz, Detlef F. and Yael Wolinsky-Nahmias. 2004. *Models, numbers, and cases: methods for studying international relations*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Experiments

- Dunning, Thad. 2012. *Natural Experiments in the Social Sciences: A Design-Based Approach*. Cambridge University Press.

- Gerber, Alan S. and Donald P. Green. 2012. *Field Experiments: Design, Analysis, and Interpretation*. W. W. Norton & Company Inc.
- Hyde, S. D. 2007. The Observer Effect in International Politics - Evidence From a Natural Experiment. *World Politics* 60(1): 37.
- Hyde, Susan D. 2015. Experiments in International Relations: Lab, Survey, and Field. *Annual Review of Political Science* 18(1): 403-424.

Case selection

- Geddes, Barbara. 1990. How the Cases You Choose Affect the Answers You Get: Selection Bias in Comparative Politics. *Political Analysis* 2(1): 131-150.
- McKeown, Timothy J. 1999. Case studies and the statistical worldview: review of King, Keohane, and Verba's *Designing social Inquiry: scientific inference in qualitative research*. *International Organization* 53: 161-
- Seawright, Jason and John Gerring. 2008. Case Selection Techniques in Case Study Research: A Menu of Qualitative and Quantitative Options. *Political Research Quarterly* 61 (2): 294-308.
- Rapport, Aaron. 2015. Hard Thinking About Hard and Easy Cases in Security Studies. *Security Studies* 24(3): 431-465.

Selection bias

- Achen, Christopher H., and Duncan Snidal. 1989. Rational deterrence theory and comparative case studies. *World Politics* 41: 143-69. This essay is followed by ones by George and Smoke (1989), Jervis (1989), Lebow and Stein (1989), and Downs (1989). A discussion of selection bias in international relations.
- Ashworth, Scott, Joshua D. Clinton, Adam Meirowitz and Kristopher W. Ramsay. 2008. Design, inference, and the strategic logic of suicide terrorism. *American Political Science Review* 102(2): 269-273.
- Collier, David and James Mahoney. 1996. Insights and pitfalls: Selection bias in qualitative research. *World Politics* 49 (1): 56-91.
- Von Stein, Jana. 2005. Do treaties constrain or screen? Selection bias and treaty compliance. *American Political Science Review* 99: 611-622.

Theoretical relevance

- Buzan, Barry and Richard Little. 2001. Why International Relations Has Failed as an Intellectual Project and What to Do About it. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 30(1): 19-39.
- Baron, Ilan Zvi. 2014. The Continuing Failure of International Relations and the Challenges of Disciplinary Boundaries. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 43(1): 224-244.

Policy relevance

- Stein, Arthur A. 2000. Counselors, Kings, and International Relations: From Revelation to Reason, and Still No Policy-Relevant Theory. In *Being Useful: Policy Relevance and International Relations*, edited by Miroslav Nincic and Joseph Leggold, 50-74. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press. See the entire volume.

Disciplinary history and sociology

- Holsti, K. J. 1998. Scholarship in an era of anxiety: the study of international politics during the Cold War. *Review of International Studies* 24, Special Issue (December): 17-46.
- Hoffmann, Stanley. 1977. An American Social Science: International Relations. *Daedalus* 106 (3): 41-60.
- Hagmann, Jonas and Thomas J. Biersteker. 2014. Beyond the Published Discipline: Toward a Critical Pedagogy of International Studies. *European Journal of International Relations* 20(2): 291-315.
- Schmidt, Brian C. and Nicolas Guilhot, eds. 2018. *Historiographical Investigations in International Relations*. New York: Springer.
- Bell, Duncan. 2009. Writing the World: Disciplinary History and Beyond. *International Affairs* 85(1): 3-22.
- Knutsen, Torbjørn L. 2016. *A History of International Relations Theory*. 3rd ed. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Carvalho, Benjamin de, Julia Costa Lopez and Halvard Leira, eds. 2021. *The Routledge Handbook of Historical International Relations*. New York: Routledge.
- Owens, P. 2018. Women and the History of International Thought. *International Studies Quarterly* 62(3): 467-481.
- Tickner, J. Ann and Jacqui True. 2018. A Century of International Relations Feminism: From World War I Women's Peace Pragmatism to the Women, Peace and Security Agenda. *International Studies Quarterly* 62(2): 221-233.

Political theory, theorists and IR

- Scholars of international relations draw on earlier theorists. Also see the references to Thucydides, Hobbes, and Kant later in the syllabus.
- Beitz, Charles R. 1979. *Political Theory and International Relations*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.
- Doyle, Michael W. 1997. *Ways of War and Peace: Realism, Liberalism, and Socialism*. New York: Norton.
- Boucher, David. 1998. *Political Theories of International Relations: From Thucydides to the Present*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rousseau, Jean Jacques. 1991. *Rousseau on International Relations*, eds. Stanley Hoffmann, and David P. Fidler. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Smith, Michael Joseph. 1986. *Realist thought from Weber to Kissinger*. Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University Press.
- Haslam, Jonathan. 2002. *No Virtue Like Necessity: Realist Thought in International Relations Since Machiavelli*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Vergerio, Claire. 2019. Context, reception, and the study of great thinkers in international relations. *International Theory* 11(1): 110-137.
- Owens, Patricia. 2018. Women and the history of international thought. *International Studies Quarterly* 62(3): 467-481.

Biographies of IR scholars and their personal statements

- Griffiths, Martin, Steven C. Roach and M. Scott Solomon. 2009. *Fifty Key Thinkers in International Relations*. 2nd. London: Routledge.
- Neumann, Iver B. and Ole Wæver, eds. 1997. *The Future of International Relations: Masters in the Making*. New international relations. London: Routledge.
- Theory Talks. <http://www.theory-talks.org/>

Texts as personal statements

- Morgenthau, Hans J. 1948. *Politics among nations: the struggle for power and peace*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Organski, A. F. K. 1968. *World Politics*. 2nd ed. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Modelski, George. 1972. *Principles of world politics*. New York: Free Press.
- Rosecrance, Richard. 1973. *International Relations: Peace or War?* New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Deutsch, Karl W. 1978. *The analysis of international relations*. 2nd ed. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall.
- Mearsheimer, John J. 2001. *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. New York: Norton.

Replication crisis in social science

- Open Science Collaboration. 2015. Psychology. Estimating the Reproducibility of Psychological Science. *Science* 349(6251): aac4716.
- Camerer, Colin F., Anna Dreber, Eskil Forsell, Teck-Hua Ho, Jurgen Huber, Magnus Johannesson, Michael Kirchler, Johan Almenberg, Adam Altmejd, Taizan Chan, Emma Heikensten, Felix Holzmeister, Taisuke Imai, Ssiri Isaksson, Gideon Nave, Thomas Pfeiffer, Michael Razen and Hang Wu. 2016. Evaluating Replicability of Laboratory Experiments in Economics. *Science* 351(6280): 1433-1436.

Causality

- Pearl, Judea. 2000. *Causality: Models, Reasoning, and Inference*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Pearl, Judea and Dana Mackenzie. 2018. *The Book of Why: The New Science of Cause and Effect*. New York: Basic Books. Accessible.

Mechanisms

- Hedström, Peter and Petri Ylikoski. 2010. Causal Mechanisms in the Social Sciences. *Annual Review of Sociology* 36(1): 49-67.

2. Anarchy, Power, and the Balance of Power (Oct. 6, 2021 ≈ 305 pp.)

A dominant and long-standing approach to international politics, associated with realism, begins with a conception of states interacting in an anarchic interstate system. It focuses on the relative power of states and the distribution of power in the system. This generates a particular view of international conflict and cooperation.

The perspective has many variants: classical realism, neorealism, structural realism, neoclassical realism, offensive realism, defensive realism, etc. What distinguishes these arguments?

What is assumed in these arguments? What is being explained? What is not being explained? Are they explanatory theories or normative injunctions? For example, is the balance of power an outcome or a sought after objective? What is the role of intention? Is realism a theory of war, peace, or both?

What is meant by anarchy? What does it imply? What constitutes change?

What kind of empirical work can be done to assess these arguments (i.e., what is the research program)? Does economics provide an appropriate analogue for these theories? What do these arguments say about the economy? Are some arguments specific to security and others to foreign economic policy?

There are debates about how to measure power, how to characterize the distribution, and the consequences of the distribution of power. Compare hegemonic and balance of power arguments. How can scholars characterize the same historical period as both hegemonic and bipolar? What do we make of different systemic distributions for military and economic power?

Realism constitutes a particular systems theory. What is a system? What is a systems theory? Can a systems theory also be a theory of foreign policy?

There are alternative ways to characterize international systems. What distinguishes an empire, an anarchic state system versus a hierarchical one, and an international society?

2.1 Anarchy, Power and Order

Eckstein, Arthur M. 2006. Realist Paradigms of Interstate Behavior. In *Mediterranean Anarchy, Interstate War, and the Rise of Rome*, chap. 2, 12-36. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Elman, Colin and Michael A. Jensen. 2014. Introduction. In *Realism Reader*, edited by Colin Elman and Michael A. Jensen, 1-30. New York: Routledge.

2.2 Systems Theory

Jervis, Robert. 1979. Systems theories and diplomatic history. In *Diplomacy: new approaches in history, theory, and policy*, ed. Paul Gordon Lauren, read pp. ≈ 212-226. New York: Free Press.

2.3 Balance of Power

Wagner, R. Harrison. 1994. Peace, war, and the balance of power. *American Political Science Review* 88 (September): 593-607.

Wohlforth, William C., Richard Little, Stuart J. Kaufman, David Kang, Charles A. Jones, Victoria Tin-Bor Hui, Arthur Eckstein, Daniel Deudney and William L. Brenner. 2007. Testing balance-of-power theory in world history. *European Journal of International Relations* 13 (2): 155-185. Read pages 155-160, 171-173, 176-179.

2.4 Power Transition

Sample, S. G. 2018. Power, Wealth, and Satisfaction: When Do Power Transitions Lead to Conflict. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 62(9): 1905-1931. Read 1905-1919.

2.5 Measuring Power

Beckley, Michael. 2018. The Power of Nations: Measuring What Matters. *International Security* 43 (2): 7-44. Read 7-22, 33-39, 40-44.

2.6 Alliances

Snyder, Glenn H. 1984. The Security Dilemma in Alliance Politics. *World Politics* 36 (4): 461-495.

Olson, Mancur, Jr., and Richard Zeckhauser. 1966. An economic theory of alliances. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 48: 266-79. Reprinted in *Economic theories of international politics*, ed. Bruce M. Russett, and in *Alliance in international politics*, ed. Julian R. Friedman, Christopher Bladen, and Steven Rosen.

2.7 Hegemony

Snidal, Duncan. 1985. Limits of hegemonic stability theory. *International Organization* 39 (Autumn): 579-614.

2.8 Empires

Pagden, Anthony. 2005. Imperialism, Liberalism & the Quest for Perpetual Peace. *Daedalus* 134(2): 46-57.

Wimmer, Andreas and Brian Min. 2006. From Empire to Nation-State: Explaining Wars in the Modern World, 1816–2001. *American Sociological Review* 71(6): 867-897.

2.9 Hierarchy

Lake, David A. 2009. Hobbesian Hierarchy: The Political Economy of Political Organization. *Annual Review of Political Science* 12: 263-283.

2.10 International Society

Buzan, Barry, David Held, and Anthony McGrew. 1998. Realism vs cosmopolitanism: a debate. *Review of International Studies* 24 (July): 387-98.

Buzan, Barry. 1993. From international system to international society: structural realism and regime theory meet the English school. *International Organization* 47 (Summer): 327-52. start with the bottom of p. 330, quit at middle of p. 350

For further study**On anarchy**

Buzan, Barry, Charles A. Jones, and Richard Little. 1993. *The logic of anarchy: neorealism to structural realism*. New York: Columbia University Press.

McConaughy, Meghan, Paul Musgrave and Daniel H. Nexon. 2018. Beyond anarchy: Logics of political organization, hierarchy, and international structure. *International Theory* 10(2): 181-218.

Milner, Helen. 1991. The Assumption of Anarchy in International Relations Theory: A Critique. *Review of International Studies* 17: 67-86.

Powell, Robert. 1994. Anarchy in international relations theory: the neorealist-neoliberal debate. *International Organization* 48: 313-344.

Spruyt, Hendrik. 1994. Institutional selection in international relations: state anarchy as order. *International Organization* 48 (Autumn): 527-57.

Conybeare, John A. C. 1980. International organization and the theory of property rights. *International Organization* 34: 307-34.

Systems Theory

Flood, Robert L. and Ewart R. Carson. 1993. Systems Theory in International Relations. In *Dealing With Complexity: An Introduction to the Theory and Application of Systems Science*, 2nd ed., 141-149. New York: Plenum Press.

- Jervis, Robert. 1997. *System Effects: Complexity in Political and Social Life*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.
- Buzan, Barry and Richard Little. 2000. *International Systems in World History: Remaking the Study of International Relations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Braumoeller, Bear F. 2012. *The Great Powers and the International System : Systemic Theory in Empirical Perspective*. Cambridge Studies in International Relations Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.

Balance of power

- Haas, Ernst. 1953. The Balance of Power: Prescriptions, Concepts or Propaganda? *World Politics* 5: 442-477.
- Sheehan, Michael. 1996. The Meaning of the Balance of Power. In *The Balance of Power: History and Theory*, chap. 1, 1-23. New York: Routledge.
- Little, Richard. 1989. Deconstructing the Balance of Power: Two Traditions of Thought. *Review of International Studies* 15: 87-100.
- Little, Richard. 2007. *The Balance of Power in International Relations: Metaphors, Myths, and Models*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Booth, Ken. 2011. Realism Redux: Contexts, Concepts, Contests. In *Realism and World Politics*, edited by Ken Booth, chap. 1, 1-14. London: Routledge.
- Zinnes, Dina. 1967. An Analytical Study of Balance of Power Theories. *Journal of Peace Research* 4(3): 270-287.
- Niou, Emerson M. S., and Peter C. Ordeshook. 1990. Stability in anarchic international systems. *American Political Science Review* 84: 1207-1234.
- Schweller, Randall L. 2016. The Balance of Power in World Politics. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia, Politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Histories of the balance of power

- Gamshof, Francois L. 1971. *The Middle Ages: A History of International Relations*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Gulick, Vose Edward. 1955. *Europe's Classical Balance of Power: A Case History of the Theory and Practice of One of the Great Concepts of European Statecraft*. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press.
- Dehio, Ludwig. 1962. *The Precarious Balance: Four Centuries of the European Power Struggle*, trans. by Charles Fullman. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Bridge, F. R., and Roger Bullen. 1980. *The Great Powers and the European States System, 1815-1914*. New York: Longman.
- Hinsley, F. H. 1963. *Power and the Pursuit of Peace: Theory and Practice in the History of Relations between States*. New York: Cambridge University press.
- Holbraad, Carsten. 1970. *The Concert of Europe: A Study in German and British International Theory 1815-1914*. London: Longman Group Limited.
- Kennedy, Paul M. 1989. *The rise and fall of the great powers: economic change and military conflict from 1500 to 2000*. New York: Vintage Books.
- McKay, Derek, and H. M. Scott. 1983. *The Rise of the Great Powers, 1648-1815*. New York: Longman.
- Ross, Graham. 1983. *The Great Powers and the Decline of the European States System, 1914-1945*. New York: Longman.
- Taylor, A. J. P. 1971. *The Struggle for Mastery in Europe 1848-1918*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Schroeder, Paul W. 1989. The Nineteenth Century System: Balance of Power or Political Equilibrium. *Review of International Studies* 15: 135-153.

Measuring power

- Carroll, R.J. and B. Kenkel. 2019. Prediction, Proxies, and Power. *American Journal of Political Science* 63(3): 577-593.

Realism and other isms

- Stein, Arthur A. 1990. *Why nations cooperate: circumstance and choice in international relations*. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press, pp. 3-24.
- Stein, Arthur A. 2015. Realism/neorealism. In *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2nd edn., edited by James D. Wright, vol. 20, 26-30. New York: Elsevier.
- Brooks, Stephen G. 1997. Dueling realisms. *International Organization* 51 (Summer): 445-477.
- Jervis, Robert. 1999. Realism, neoliberalism, and cooperation. *International Security* 24 (Summer): 42-63.

Waltz and neorealism

- Waltz, Kenneth N. 1986. Political structures and anarchic orders and balances of power. In *Neorealism and its critics*. Ed. Robert O. Keohane. New York: Columbia University Press, pp. 70-130. This edited volume reproduces a number of chapters of Waltz's classic book and some critical essays.
- Halliday, Fred, Justin Rosenberg, and Ken Waltz. 1998. Interview with Ken Waltz. *Review of International Studies* 24 (July): 371-86.
- Waltz, Kenneth and James Fearon. 2012. A Conversation With Kenneth Waltz. *Annual Review of Political Science* 15: 1-12.
- Donnelly, Jack. 2019. Systems, levels, and structural theory: Waltz's theory is not a systemic theory (and why that matters for international relations today). *European Journal of International Relations* 25(3): 904-930.
- Waltz, Kenneth N. 1993. The emerging structure of international politics. *International Security* 18: 44-79.
- LaRoche, Christopher David and Simon Frankel Pratt. 2018. Kenneth Waltz is Not a Neorealist (and Why That Matters). *European Journal of International Relations* 24(1): 153-176.

Criticisms of neorealism

- Ashley, Richard K. 1984. The Poverty of Neo-realism. *International Organization* 38: 225-286.
- Guzzini, Stefano. 1993. Structural power: the limits of neorealist power analysis. *International Organization* 47: 443-478.

Neoclassical realism

- Taliaferro, Jeffrey W. 2006. State building for future wars: Neoclassical realism and the resource-extractive state. *Security Studies* 15(3): 464-495.
- Rose, Gideon. 1998. Neoclassical realism and theories of foreign policy. *World Politics* 51 (October): 144-172.

Bipolarity vs. multipolarity

- Waltz, Kenneth N. 1964. The stability of a bipolar world. *Daedalus* 93 (3): 881-909.
- Deutsch, Karl, and J. David Singer. 1964. Multipolar Power Systems and International Stability. *World Politics* 16: 390-406.
- Wagner, R. Harrison. 1993. What was bipolarity? *International Organization* 47 (1): 77-106.
- Gowa, Joanne. 1989. Bipolarity, Multipolarity, and Free Trade. *American Political Science Review* 83: 1245-1256

Variants of unipolar arguments: power transition, long cycle, power cycle, hegemonic stability, hierarchy

- Alt, James E., Randall L. Calvert, and Brian D. Humes. 1988. Reputation and hegemonic stability: a game-theoretic analysis. *American Political Science Review* 82: 445-466.
- Kang, David C. 2020. International order in historical East Asia: Tribute and hierarchy beyond Sinocentrism and Eurocentrism. *International Organization* 74(1): 65-93.
- Organski, A. F. K. 1968. The power transition. In *World Politics*. 2nd ed. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, pp. 338-76. Skim
- Tammen, Ronald L. 2008. The Organski Legacy: A Fifty-Year Research Program. *International Interactions* 34 (4): read 314-323, skip 323-332.
- Modelski, George. 1978. The Long Cycle of Global Politics and the Nation-State. *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 20: 214-235.
- Doran, Charles F., and Wes Parsons. 1980. War and the Cycle of Relative Power. *American Political Science Review* 74: 947-965.
- Lake, David A. 1996. Anarchy, hierarchy, and the variety of international relations. *International Organization* 50 (Winter): 1-34.
- Gowa, Joanne. 1989. Rational hegemons, excludable goods, and small groups: an epitaph for hegemonic stability theory? *World Politics* 41 (3): 307-.
- Kim, Woosang. 1992. Power transitions and great power war from Westphalia to Waterloo. *World Politics* 45 (1): 153-172.
- Ikenberry, G. John, Michael Mastanduno and William C. Wohlforth. 2009. Introduction: Unipolarity, state behavior, and systemic consequences. *World Politics* 61 (1): 1-27.

Dealing with rise and decline

- Lobell, Steven E. 2000. The Grand Strategy of Hegemonic Decline: Dilemmas of Strategy and Finance. *Security Studies* 10 (1): 92-119.
- Nelson, Chad E. 2017. Why the Great Powers Permitted the Creation of an American Hegemon. *Political Science Quarterly* 132(4): 685-718.

Debating hegemonic decline (declinism)

- Huntington, Samuel P. 1988. The U.S. – Decline or Renewal. *Foreign Affairs* 67(2): 76-96.
- Winecoff, William Kindred. 2020. “The Persistent Myth of Lost Hegemony, ’ Revisited: Structural Power as a Complex Network Phenomenon. *European Journal of International Relations* 26(1-suppl): 209-252.

Thucydides (and the ancients) and realism

- Alker, Hayward R., Jr. 1988. The dialectical logic of Thucydides’ Melian dialogue. *American Political Science Review* 82: 805-.
- Bagby, Laurie M. Johnson. 1994. The use and abuse of Thucydides in international relations. *International Organization* 48 (1): 131-153.
- Clark, Michael T. 1993. Realism ancient and modern: Thucydides and international relations. *American Political Science Review* 87: A491-.
- Doyle, Michael W. 1990. Thucydidean Realism. *Review of International Studies* 16: 223-237.
- Fliess, Peter J. 1966. *Thucydides and the Politics of Bipolarity*. Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University Press.
- Forde, Steven. 1995. International realism and the science of politics: Thucydides, Machiavelli, and neorealism. *International Studies Quarterly* 39 (2): 141-.
- Garst, Daniel. 1989. Thucydides and neorealism. *International Studies Quarterly* 33: 3
- Orwin, Clifford. 1989. Piety, justice, and the necessities of war: Thucydides’ Delian debate. *American Political Science Review* 83: 233-.
- Dinneen, Nathan. 2018. The Corinthian thesis: The oratorical origins of the idea of the balance of power in Herodotus, Thucydides, and Xenophon. *International Studies Quarterly* 62(4): 857-866.

On Hobbes as a realist

- Bull, Hedley. 1981. Hobbes and the International Anarchy. *Social Research* 48: 717-738.
- Forsyth, Murray. 1979. Thomas Hobbes and the External Relations of States. *British Journal of International Studies* 5: 196-209.
- Kavka, Gregory S. 1983. Hobbes’s War of All against All. *Ethics* 93: 291-310.
- Malnes, Raino. 1993. *The Hobbesian Theory of International Conflict*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Early moderns and the balance of power

- Maurseth, Per. 1964. Balance-of-Power Thinking from the Renaissance to the French Revolution. *Journal of Peace Research* 1 (2): 120-136.
- Charette, D. 2021. David Hume’s Balancing Act: The Political Discourses and the Sinews of War. *American Political Science Review* 115(1): 69-81.

Alliances

- Henry, Iain D. 2020. What allies want: Reconsidering loyalty, reliability, and alliance interdependence. *International Security* 44(4): 45-83.
- Walt, Stephen M. 1985. Alliance formation and the balance of power. *International Security* 9 (Spring): 3-43. Skim
- Morrow, James D. 1991. Alliances and Asymmetry: An Alternative to the Capability Aggregation Model of Alliances. *American Journal of Political Science* 904-933.
- Morrow, James D. 2000. Alliances: Why Write Them Down. *Annual Review of Political Science* 3 (1): 63-83.
- Christensen, Thomas J., and Jack Snyder. 1990. Chain Gangs and Passed Bucks: Predicting Alliance Patterns in Multipolarity. *International Organization* 44: 137-168.
- Young, O. R. 1976. Rationality, coalition formation, and international relations. In *Rationality and social sciences*, eds. S. I. Benn, and G. W. Mortimore, 223-45. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Fang, Songying, Jesse C. Johnson and Brett Ashley Leeds. 2014. To Concede or to Resist? The Restraining Effect of Military Alliances. *International Organization* 68: 775-809.
- Jackson, Matthew O. and Stephen Nei. 2015. Networks of Military Alliances, Wars, and International Trade. Working paper. Available at SSRN.
- Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce, and J. David Singer. 1973. Alliances, Capabilities, and War: A Review and Synthesis. In *Political Science Annual: An International Review*, vol. 4, ed. Cornelius P. Cotter, pp. 237-80. New York: Bobbs-Merrill Company.
- Burgess, Philip M., and David W. Moore. 1972. Alliances: An Inventory and Appraisal of Propositions. In *Political Science Annual: An International Review*, vol. 3, ed. James A. Robinson, pp. 339-83. New York: Bobbs-Merrill Company.

- Russett, Bruce M. 1968. Components of an Operational Theory of International Alliance Formation. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 12 (3): 285-301.
- Sandler, Todd. 1993. The economic theory of alliances: a survey. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 37: 446-.
- Schroeder, Paul W. 1976. Alliances, 1815-1945: Weapons of Power and Tools of Management. In *Historical Dimensions of National Security Problems*, ed. Klaus Knorr. Lawrence, Kansas: University Press of Kansas.

Historical cut points

- Buzan, Barry and George Lawson. 2014. Rethinking Benchmark Dates in International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations* 20(2): 437-462.

Empire, Hierarchy and Authority

- Lake, David A. 1996. Anarchy, hierarchy, and the variety of international relations. *International Organization* 50 (1): 1-33.
- Weber, K. 1997. Hierarchy amidst anarchy: A transaction costs approach to international security cooperation. *International Studies Quarterly* 41 (2): 321-340.
- Hobson, John M. and J. C. Sharman. 2005. The enduring place of hierarchy in world politics: Tracing the social logics of hierarchy and political change. *European Journal of International Relations* 11 (1): 63-98.
- Cooley, Alexander. 2003. Thinking rationally about hierarchy and global governance. *Review of International Political Economy* 10 (4): 672-684.
- Nexon, Daniel H. and Thomas Wright. 2007. What's at stake in the American empire debate. *American Political Science Review* 101(2): 253-271.
- Butcher, C. and R. Griffiths. 2021. War, Interaction Capacity, and the Structures of State Systems. *International Theory* 13(2): 372-396.
- Kustermans, Jorg and Rikkert Horemans. 2021. Four Conceptions of Authority in International Relations. *International Organization*. Forthcoming.
- Babones, S. and J. H. S. Aberg. 2019. Globalization and the Rise of Integrated World Society: Deterritorialization, Structural Power, and the Endogenization of International Society. *International Theory* 11(3): 293-317.

Civilizations

- Wilkinson, David A. 2002. Civilizations as Networks: Trade, War, Diplomacy, and Command?control. *Complexity* 8(1): 82-86.
- Wilkinson, David. 2005. Fluctuations in the Political Consolidation of Civilizations/world Systems. *Comparative Civilizations Review* 52: 7.

International Society and the English School

- Watson, Adam. 1992. *The evolution of international society: a comparative historical analysis*. New York: Routledge.
- Manela, Erez. 2020. International Society as a Historical Subject. *Diplomatic History* 44(2): 184-209.
- Navari, Cornelia and Daniel M. Green, eds. 2014. *Guide to the English School in International Studies*. Malden, MA: John Wiley & Sons.

Miscellaneous

- Strang, David. 1991. Anomaly and commonplace in European political expansion: realist and institutional accounts. *International Organization* 45 (2): 143-162.

3. Strategic Choice and War (Oct. 13, 2021, ≈ 298 pp.)

Strategic interaction characterizes international relations. What are the implications of a strategic-choice approach to politics and international relations? What is the bargaining model of war and what are its implications? Is conflict rational? Is cooperation? What are the roles of diplomacy and bargaining?

3.1 A Strategic-Choice Approach

Lake, David A., and Robert Powell. 1999. International relations: a strategic-choice approach. In *Strategic choice and international relations*, edited by David A. Lake and Robert Powell, 3-38. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Morrow, James D. 1999. The strategic setting of choices: signaling, commitment, and negotiation in international politics. In *Strategic choice and international relations*, edited by David A. Lake and Robert Powell, 77-114. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

3.2 Cooperation Under Anarchy

Axelrod, Robert. 1981. The emergence of cooperation among egoists. *American Political Science Review* 75: 306-18.

3.3 Rational Wars

Jackson, Matthew O. and Massimo Morelli. 2011. The Reasons for Wars: An Updated Survey. In *The handbook on the political economy of war*, edited by Christopher J. Coyne and Rachel L. Mathers, 34-57. Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.

Fearon, James D. 1995. Rationalist explanations for war. *International Organization* 49: 379-414.

Kirshner, Jonathan. 2000. Rationalist Explanations for War? *Security Studies* 10 (1): 143-50.

Powell, Robert. 2006. War as a commitment problem. *International Organization* 60 (1): 169-203.

O'Neill, Barry. 1999. Commitments Based on Honor. In *Honor, Symbols, and War*, 127-138. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

3.4 Bargaining

Muthoo, Abhinay. 2000. A Non-Technical Introduction to Bargaining Theory. *World Economics* 1: 145-166.

Fearon, James D. 1998. Bargaining, enforcement, and international cooperation. *International Organization* 52: 269-305.

3.5 Diplomacy and Signals

Katagiri, Azusa and Eric Min. 2019. The Credibility of Public and Private Signals: A Document-Based Approach. *American Political Science Review* 113 (1): 156-172.

3.6 Limitations ?

Stein, Arthur A. 1999. The limits of strategic choice: constrained rationality and incomplete explanation. In *Strategic choice and international relations*, edited by David A. Lake and Robert Powell, 197-228. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press.

For further study

Evolution of cooperation

Hirshleifer, Jack and Juan Carlos Martinez. 1988. What Strategies Can Support the Evolutionary Emergence of Cooperation? *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 32 (June): 367-398.

Bendor, Jonathan. 1993. Uncertainty and the evolution of cooperation. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 37: 709-734.

Bargaining model of war

- Reiter, Dan. 2004. Exploring the Bargaining Model of War. *Perspectives on Politics* 1: 27-43.
- Ramsay, Kristopher W. 2017. Information, Uncertainty, and War. *Annual Review of Political Science* 20: 505-527.
- Fearon, J. D. 2018. Cooperation, Conflict, and the Costs of Anarchy. *International Organization* 72(3): 523-559.
- Schultz, Kenneth A. and Henk E. Goemans. 2019. Aims, claims, and the bargaining model of war. *International Theory* 11(3): 344-374.
- Davis, Jason Sanwalka. 2021. War as a Redistributive Problem. *American Journal of Political Science* forthcoming.

Bargaining and negotiation

- Powell, Robert. 2002. Bargaining theory and international conflict. *Annual Review of Political Science* 5: 1-30.
- O'Neill, Barry. 2018. International Negotiation: Some Conceptual Developments. *Annual Review of Political Science* 21: 515-533.

Linkage politics and reciprocity

- Stein, Arthur A. 1980. The politics of linkage. *World Politics* 33 (1): 62-81.
- Tollison, Robert D., and Thomas D. Willett. 1979. An economic theory of mutually advantageous issue linkages in international negotiations. *International Organization* 33: 425-449.
- Keohane, Robert O. 1986. Reciprocity in International Relations. *International Organization* 40 (1): 1-27. – SKIM

Relative gains

- Stein, Arthur A. 1990. The Struggle for Advantage: Dilemmas of Hegemony and Competition. In *Why nations cooperate: circumstance and choice in international relations*, chap. 5. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press.
- Snidal, Duncan. 1991. Relative gains and the pattern of international cooperation. *American Political Science Review* 85: 701-726.
- Powell, Robert. 1991. Absolute and relative gains in international relations theory. *American Political Science Review* 85: 1303-1320.

Diplomacy

- Kurizaki, Shuhei. 2007. Efficient Secrecy: Public Versus Private Threats in Crisis Diplomacy. *American Political Science Review* 101 (3): 543-558.
- Trager, Robert F. 2010. Diplomatic Calculus in Anarchy: How Communication Matters. *American Political Science Review* 104 (2): 347-368.

Agent-based models

- Cederman, Lars-Erik. 2003. Modeling the Size of Wars: From Billiard Balls to Sandpiles. *American Political Science Review* 97 (1): 135-150.
- Cederman, Lars-Erik. 1997. *Emergent Actors in World Politics: How States and Nations Develop and Dissolve*. Princeton studies in complexity. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.

Guns/butter

- Hirshleifer, Jack. 1988. The Analytics of Continuing Conflict. *Synthese* 76 (August): 201-233.
- Hirshleifer, Jack. 1991. The Technology of Conflict as an Economic Activity. *American Economic Review* 81 (May): 130-134.
- Garfinkel, Michelle R. 1990. Arming as a Strategic Investment in a Cooperative Equilibrium. *American Economic Review* 89 (March): 50-68.
- Skaperdas, Stergios. 1991. Conflict and Attitudes Toward Risk. *American Economic Review* 81 (May): 116-120.
- Skaperdas, Stergios. 1992. Cooperation, Conflict, and Power in the Absence of Property Rights. *American Economic Review* 82 (September): 720- 739.
- Powell, Robert. 1993. Guns, butter, and anarchy. *American Political Science Review* 87 (March): 115-.
- Poast, Paul. 2019. Beyond the sinew of war: The political economy of security as a subfield. *Annual Review of Political Science* 22: 223-239.

Miscellaneous

Jervis, Robert. 1988. Realism, game theory, and cooperation. *World Politics* 40: 317-49. Skim

Clark, David H., and William Reed. 2005. The strategic sources of foreign policy substitution. *American Journal of Political Science* 49(3): 609-624.

Jackson, Matthew O., and Massimo Morelli. 2007. Political bias and war. *American Economic Review* 97: 1353-1373.

Coe, Andrew J. and Jane Vaynman. 2020. Why Arms Control is So Rare. *American Political Science Review* 114(2): 342-355.

4. Military Doctrine, Grand Strategy, and Weapons (Oct. 20, 2021, ≈ 311 pp.)

Assuring security is a primary objective of states and as a result they maintain militaries and prepare for the possibility of war. What is grand strategy and what are the set of grand strategic choices states make? What are the military strategies states employ? Why do the weak wage war on the strong? How is war waged? How do wars end? Who wins wars? Do advances in military technology change the nature of warfare and the exercise of coercion? This has been asked of nuclear weapons and what they imply and why states want them? Currently, similar questions are being asked about drones and cyber technology.

4.1 Security Dilemma and Deterrence

Jervis, Robert. 1976. *Perception and misperception in international politics*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, pp. 58-113. Skim.

4.2 Status

Larson, Deborah Welch and Alexei Shevchenko. 2010. Status Seekers: Chinese and Russian Responses to US Primacy. *International Security* 34 (4): 63-95. Read pages 63-76 and 93-95 and ignore the footnotes.

Renshon, Jonathan. 2016. Status Deficits and War. *International Organization* 70 (3): 513-550.

4.3 Offensive/Defense Balance

Adams, Karen Ruth. 2003. Attack and Conquer? International Anarchy and the Offense-Defense-deterrence Balance. *International Security* 28 (3): 45-83.

4.4 Military Strategy: Attrition, Envelopment, Airpower

Reiter, Dan and Curtis Meek. 1999. Determinants of Military Strategy, 1903-1994: A Quantitative Empirical Test. *International Studies Quarterly* 43 (2): 363-387.

4.5 War Termination

Ramsay, Kristopher W. 2008. Settling it on the Field: Battlefield Events and War Termination. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 52 (6): 850-879.

4.6 Who Wins Wars?

Biddle, Stephen. 2004. Introduction. In *Military Power: Explaining Victory and Defeat in Modern Battle*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, pp. 1-13.

Bendor, Jonathan and Jacob N. Shapiro. 2019. Historical Contingencies in the Evolution of States and Their Militaries. *World Politics* 71 (1): 126-161.

4.7 The Nuclear Revolution

Sechser, Todd S. and Matthew Fuhrmann. 2013. Crisis Bargaining and Nuclear Blackmail. *International Organization* 67 (1): 173-195.

4.8 Nuclear Proliferation

Sagan, Scott D. 2011. The Causes of Nuclear Weapons Proliferation. *Annual review of political science* 14: 225-244.

4.9 Reputation

Weisiger, Alex and Keren Yarhi-Milo. 2015. Revisiting Reputation: How Past Actions Matter in International Politics. *International Organization* 69 (2): 473-495.

For further study**Grand Strategy**

- Luard, Evan. 1967. Conciliation and Deterrence: A Comparison of Political Strategies in the Interwar and Postwar Years. *World Politics* 19: 167-189.
- Posen, Barry. 1984. Explaining Military Doctrine. In *The Sources of Military Doctrine: France, Britain, and Germany Between the World Wars*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, pp. 34-80.
- Glaser, Charles L. 1992. Political Consequences of Military Strategy: Expanding and Refining the Spiral and Deterrence Models. *World Politics* 44: 497-538.
- Rosecrance, Richard and Arthur A. Stein. 1993. Beyond Realism: The Study of Grand Strategy. In *The Domestic Bases of Grand Strategy*, edited by Richard Rosecrance and Arthur A. Stein, 3-21. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press.
- Treisman, Daniel. 2004. Rational Appeasement. *International Organization* 58 (2): 345-373.

Military investments and tradeoffs

- Hirshleifer, Jack. 1991. The Technology of Conflict as an Economic Activity. *American Economic Review* 81 (May): 130-134.
- Garfinkel, Michelle R. 1990. Arming as a Strategic Investment in a Cooperative Equilibrium. *American Economic Review* 89 (March): 50-68.

Deterrence

- Jervis, Robert. 1979. Deterrence theory revisited. *World Politics* 31: 289-324. Skim
- Jervis, Robert. 1989. Rational deterrence: theory and evidence. *World Politics* 41 (2): 183-207.
- Morgan, Patrick M. 1977. *Deterrence: A Conceptual Analysis*. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications.
- Nalebuff, Barry. 1991. Rational Deterrence in an Imperfect World. *World Politics* 43(3): 313-335.
- Powell, Robert. 1990. *Nuclear deterrence theory: the search for credibility*. Cambridge, Mass.: Cambridge University Press.
- Powell, Robert. 1985. The Theoretical Foundations of Strategic Nuclear Deterrence. *Political Science Quarterly* 100(1): 75-96.
- Russett, Bruce M. 1963. The Calculus of Deterrence. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 7: 97-109.
- Hopf, Ted. 1988. Extended Deterrence and the Outbreak of War. *American Political Science Review* 82: 423-443
- Baliga, Sandeep, Ethan B. De Mesquita and Alexander Wolitzky. 2020. Deterrence With Imperfect Attribution. *American Political Science Review* 114(4): 1155-1178.

Deterrence: Historical cases

- Hosoya, Chihiro. 1968. Miscalculations in Deterrent Policy: Japanese-U.S. Relations, 1938-1941. *Journal of Peace Research* 5 (no. 2): 97-115.
- Russett, Bruce M. 1967. Pearl Harbor: Deterrence Theory and Decision Theory. *Journal of Peace Research* 4 (no. 2): 89-105.
- Alexandroff, Alan, and Richard Rosecrance. 1977. Deterrence in 1939. *World Politics* 29: 404-424.

Status

- Galtung, Johan. 1964. A Structural Theory of Aggression. *Journal of Peace Research* 1 (no. 2): 95-119.
- Dafoe, Allan, Jonathan Renshon and Paul Huth. 2014. Reputation and Status as Motives for War. *Annual Review of Political Science* 17: 371-393.
- Ward, Steven. 2019. Logics of stratified identity management in world politics. *International Theory* 11(2): 211-238.

Offense/Defense: The impact of technological change and perception

- Van Evera, Stephen. 1984. The Cult of the Offensive and the Origins of the First World War. *International Security* 9: 58-107.
- Levy, Jack S. 1984. The Offensive Defensive Balance of Military Technology: A Theoretical and Historical-Analysis. *International Studies Quarterly* 28: 219-238.
- Van Evera, Stephen. 1998. Offense, defense, and the causes of war. *International Security* 22: 5-43. Skim
- Sagan, Scott D. 1986. 1914 Revisited: Allies, Offense, and Instability. *International Security* 11 (no. 2): 151-175.
- Anderton, Charles H. 1992. Toward a mathematical theory of offensive/defensive balance. *International Studies Quarterly* 36 (1): 75-.

- Hopf, Ted. 1991. Polarity, the offense-defense balance, and war. *American Political Science Review* 85: 475-493?
- Horowitz, Michael C. 2020. Do emerging military technologies matter for international politics? *Annual Review of Political Science* 23: 385-400.
- Sharman, J. C. 2019. Power and profit at sea: the rise of the west in the making of the international system. *International Security* 43(4): 163-.

Waging war

- Horowitz, Michael and Dan Reiter. 2001. When Does Aerial Bombing Work? Quantitative Empirical Tests, 1917-1999. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 45(2): 147-173.
- Biddle, Stephen, Jeffrey A. Friedman and Stephen Long. 2012. Civil War Intervention and the Problem of Iraq. *International Studies Quarterly* 56 (1): 85-98.
- Lehmann, T. C. and Y. M. Zhukov. 2019. Until the Bitter End? The Diffusion of Surrender Across Battles. *International Organization* 73(1): 133-169.
- Min, Eric. 2020. Talking While Fighting: Understanding the Role of Wartime Negotiation. *International Organization* 74(3): 610-632.

Who wins war

- Lake, David A. 1992. Powerful Pacifists: Democratic States and War. *American Political Science Review* 86(1): 24-37.

War Termination

- Wittman, Donald. 1979. How a War Ends: A Rational Model Approach. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 23 (4): 743-765.

Trends in war

- Clauset, Aaron. 2018. Trends and Fluctuations in the Severity of Interstate Wars. *Science Advances* 4(2): eaao3580.

Decline of war

- Pinker, Steven. 2011. *The Better Angels of Our Nature: Why Violence Has Declined*. New York: Viking.
- Gat, Azar. 2013. Is War Declining - and Why? *Journal of Peace Research* 50(2): 149-157.
- Braumoeller, Bear F. 2019. *Only the Dead: The Persistence of War in the Modern Age*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Managing postwar relations

- Doran, Charles F. 1971. *The Politics of Assimilation: Hegemony and its Aftermath*. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins University Press.

The nuclear revolution

- Jervis, Robert. 1989. *The Meaning of Nuclear Revolution: Statecraft and the Prospect of Armageddon*. Ithaca, N. Y.: Cornell University Press.
- Mueller, John. 1988. The Essential Irrelevance of Nuclear Weapons: Stability in the Postwar World. *International Security* 13, 2 (Fall): 55-79.
- Kaysen, Carl. 1990. Is War Obsolete? *International Security* 14: 42-64.
- Rauchhaus, Robert. 2009. Evaluating the Nuclear Peace Hypothesis: a Quantitative Approach. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 53(2): 258-277.
- Kroenig, Matthew. 2013. Nuclear Superiority and the Balance of Resolve: Explaining Nuclear Crisis Outcomes. *International Organization* 67 (1): 141-171.
- Kydd, Andrew H. 2019. The Sturdy Child Vs. The Sword of Damocles: Nuclear Weapons and the Expected Cost of War. *Security Studies* 28(4): 645-676.

Nuclear proliferation

- Sagan, Scott D. 1994. The perils of proliferation: organization theory, deterrence theory, and the spread of nuclear weapons. *International Security* 18: 66-107.
- Sagan, Scott D. and Kenneth N. Waltz. 2013. *The spread of nuclear weapons : An enduring debate*. 3rd ed. New York: W.W. Norton & Co.
- Powell, Robert. 1985. The Theoretical Foundations of Strategic Nuclear Deterrence. *Political Science Quarterly* 100(1): 75-96.

Kroenig, Matthew. 2014. Force or Friendship? Explaining Great Power Nonproliferation Policy. *Security Studies* 23 (1): 1-32.

5. Domestic Politics (Oct. 27, 2021, ≈ 308 pp.)

How does domestic politics impact foreign policy? What are the features of domestic politics that matter? How does domestic society impact foreign policy? How is society characterized? Is it a whole or composed of pieces? Along what lines is society divided? What are the societal factors emphasized in the articles? What are the roots of the interests of different subdivisions? Whose interests does policy reflect when society is divided? What are the links between society and the state? Where is government in these models? Are studies of internal sources the sound of one hand clapping (i.e., do they ignore the inter-state component of foreign policy and international relations)? Do these articles provide comparable explanations of security and foreign economic policy? Can all the factors discussed be applied in both domains? Are domestic sources more important in one domain than another? Why? Do differences in political systems, as between democracies and autocracies, affect foreign policy and the likelihood of war? What is the democratic peace and what explains it?

5.1 Regime Type: Democracies and Autocracies

- Gowa, Joanne. 1995. Democratic states and international disputes. *International Organization* 49 (Summer): 511-22.
- Schultz, Kenneth A. 1999. Do Democratic Institutions Constrain or Inform? Contrasting Two Institutional Perspectives on Democracy and War. *International Organization* 53: 233-66.
- Colgan, Jeff D. and Jessica L. P. Weeks. 2015. Revolution, Personalist Dictatorships, and International Conflict. *International Organization* 69 (1): 163-194.
- Hyde, Susan D. and Elizabeth N. Saunders. 2020. Recapturing regime type in international relations: Leaders, institutions, and agency space. *International Organization* 74(2): 363-395.

5.2 Domestic Audiences

- Fearon, James D. 1994. Domestic political audiences and the escalation of international disputes. *American Political Science Review* 88 (September): 577-92.
- Snyder, Jack and Erica D. Borghard. 2011. The Cost of Empty Threats: A Penny, Not a Pound. *American Political Science Review* 105 (3): 437-456.

5.3 Public Opinion

- Tomz, Michael, Jessica L.P. Weeks and Keren Yarhi-Milo. 2020. Public Opinion and Decisions About Military Force in Democracies. *International Organization* 74(1): 119-143.
- Barnhart, Joslyn N., Robert F. Trager, Elizabeth N. Saunders and Allan Dafoe. 2020. The Suffragist Peace. *International Organization* 74(4): 633-670.

5.4 Political Parties

- Trager, Robert, F. and Lynn Vavreck. 2011. The political costs of crisis bargaining: Presidential rhetoric and the role of party. *American Journal of Political Science* 55 (3): 526-545. Skim

5.5 State/Society

- Katzenstein, Peter. 1977. Conclusion: domestic structures and strategies of foreign economic policy. *International Organization* 31 (Autumn): 879-920. SKIM

5.6 Interests and Coalitions

- Kurth, James R. 1979. The political consequences of the product cycle: industrial policy and political outcomes. *International Organization* 33 (Winter): 1-34. Read 1-5, 32-34.
- Solingen, Etel. 2007. Pax Asiatica versus Bella Levantina: The foundations of war and peace in East Asia and the Middle East. *American Political Science Review* 101 (November): 757-780.

5.7 An application: Regime type and pandemics

Stasavage, David. 2020. Democracy, Autocracy, and Emergency Threats: Lessons for Covid-19 From the Last Thousand Years. *International Organization* 74 (Supp): 1-17.

For further study

Miscellaneous

Levy, Jack S. 1988. Domestic politics and war. *Journal of Interdisciplinary History* 18 (Spring): 653-.

Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce and Alastair Smith. 2012. Domestic Explanations of International Relations. *Annual Review of Political Science* 15: 161-181.

Democratic peace

Layne, Christopher. 1994. Kant or cant: the myth of the democratic peace. *International Security* 19 (Fall): 5-49.

Maoz, Zeev, and Bruce Russett. 1993. Normative and structural causes of democratic peace, 1946-1986. *American Political Science Review* 87 (September): 624-.

Ember, Carol R., and Melvin Ember. 1992. Peace between participatory polities: a cross-cultural test of the democracies rarely fight each other hypothesis. *World Politics* 44 (July): 573-.

Doyle, Michael W. 1986. Liberalism and world politics. *American Political Science Review* 80 (December): 1151-69.

Gat, Azar. 2005. The Democratic Peace Theory Reframed: The Impact of Modernity. *World Politics* 58(1): 73-100.

Ferejohn, John, and Frances McCall Rosenbluth. 2008. Warlike democracies. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 52(1): 3-38

Dafoe, Allan. 2011. Statistical Critiques of the Democratic Peace: Caveat Emptor. *American Journal of Political Science* 55(2): 247-262.

Gartzke, Erik and Alex Weisiger. 2013. Permanent Friends? Dynamic Difference and the Democratic Peace. *International Studies Quarterly* 57(1): 171-185.

Tomz, Michael R. and Jessica L. P. Weeks. 2013. Public Opinion and the Democratic Peace. *American Political Science Review* 107 (4): 849-865. Skim

Autocracies and war/peace

Andreski, Stanislav. 1980. On the Peaceful Disposition of Military Dictatorships. *Journal of Strategic Studies* 3 (December): 3- 10.

Feierabend, Ivo K. 1962. Expansionist and Isolationist Tendencies of Totalitarian Political Systems: A Theoretical Note. *Journal of Politics* 24 (November): 733-742.

Peceny, Mark, Caroline C. Beer, and Shannon Sanchez-Terry. 2002. Dictatorial peace? *American Political Science Review* 96 (1): 15-26.

Audiences

Tomz, Michael. 2007. Domestic audience costs in international relations: An experimental approach. *International Organization* 61(4): 821-840.

Weeks, Jessica L. 2008. Autocratic audience costs: Regime type and signaling resolve. *International Organization* 62(1): 35-64.

Kurizaki, Shuhei and Taehee Whang. 2015. Detecting Audience Costs in International Disputes. *International Organization* 69 (4): 949-980.

Political parties

Gowa, Joanne. 1998. Politics at the water's edge: parties, voters, and the use of force abroad. *International Organization* 52: 307-324.

Bertoli, Andrew, Allan Dafoe and Robert F. Trager. 2019. Is there a war party? Party change, the left-right divide, and international conflict. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 63(4): 950-975.

Rapport, Aaron and Brian Rathbun. 2021. Parties to an Alliance: Ideology and the Domestic Politics of International Institutionalization. *Journal of Peace Research*.

Mattes, M. and J.L.P. Weeks. 2019. Hawks, Doves, and Peace: An Experimental Approach. *American Journal of Political Science* 63(1): 53-66.

De Vries, Catherine E., Sara B. Hobolt and Stefanie Walter. 2021. Politicizing International Cooperation: The Mass Public, Political Entrepreneurs, and Political Opportunity Structures. *International Organization* 75(2): 306-332.

Weiss, Jessica Chen and Jeremy L. Wallace. 2021. Domestic Politics, China's Rise, and the Future of the Liberal International Order. *International Organization* 75(2): 635-664.

Public Opinion

Tingley, Dustin and Michael Tomz. 2013. Conditional Cooperation and Climate Change. *Comparative Political Studies* 47(3): 344-368.

Liberman, Peter and Linda Skitka. 2019. Vicarious retribution in US public support for war against Iraq. *Security Studies* 28(2): 189-215.

Dill, J. and L. I. Schubiger. 2021. Attitudes Toward the Use of Force: Instrumental Imperatives, Moral Principles, and International Law. *American Journal of Political Science* 65(3): 612-633.

Domestic Bases of Nuclear Choices

Saunders, Elizabeth N. 2019. The Domestic Politics of Nuclear Choices a Review Essay. *International Security* 44(2): 146-184.

Lateral pressure: Impact of industrialization and adequacy of domestic resources

North, Robert C. 1977. Toward a Framework for the Analysis of Scarcity and Conflict. *International Studies Quarterly* 21 (December): 569-591.

Demography

Haas, Mark L. 2007. A Geriatric Peace? The Future of US Power in a World of Aging Populations. *International Security* 32(1): 112-147.

Brooks, Deborah Jordan, Stephen G. Brooks, Brian D. Greenhill and Mark L. Haas. 2018. The Demographic Transition Theory of War: Why Young Societies Are Conflict Prone and Old Societies Are the Most Peaceful. *International Security* 43(3): 53-95.

Kant, liberalism, and peace

Hurrell, Andrew. 1990. Kant and the Kantian Paradigm in International Relations. *Review of International Studies* 16 (July): 183-206.

Owen, John M. 1994. How liberalism produces democratic peace. *International Security* 19 (Fall): 87-125.

Political instability

Gordon, Michael. 1974. Domestic conflict and the origins of the First World War. *Journal of Modern History* 46 (June): 191-226.

Mayer, Arno. 1969. Internal Causes and Purposes of War in Europe, 1870-1956. *Journal of Modern History* 41 (September): 291-303.

Morgan, T. Clifton, and Kenneth N. Bickers. 1992. Domestic discontent and the external use of force. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 36 (March): 25-.

Coalitions

Gourevitch, Peter Alexis. 1977. International Trade, Domestic Coalitions, and Liberty: Comparative Responses to the Crisis of 1873-1896. *Journal of Interdisciplinary History* 8: 281-313.

McKeown, Timothy J. 1984. Firms and Tariff Regime Change: Explaining the Demand for Protection. *World Politics* 36 (January): 215-233.

Frieden, Jeffrey A. 1988. Sectoral conflict and foreign economic policy, 1914-1940. *International Organization* 42 (Winter): 59- 90.

Goldstein, Judith and Robert Gulotty. 2021. America and the Trade Regime: What Went Wrong. *International Organization* 75(2): 524-557.

Schonfeld, Bryan. 2021. Trading Places, Trading Platforms: The Geography of Trade Policy Realignment. *International Organization*. Forthcoming.

Culture

Farrell, Theo. 1998. Culture and military power. *Review of International Studies* 24 (July): 407-16.

Gaenslen, Fritz. 1986. Culture and Decision Making in China, Japan, Russia, and the United States. *World Politics* 39 (October): 78-103.

Kier, Elizabeth. 1995. Culture and military doctrine: France between the wars. *International Security* 19 (4): 65(29).

Legro, Jeffrey W. 1994. Military culture and inadvertent escalation in World War II. *International Security* 18: 108-142.

Hartz, Louis. 1955. America and the World. In *The Liberal Tradition in America: An Interpretation of American Political Thought Since the Revolution*, 284-309. New York : Harcourt, Brace & World.

Demography

Simon, Julian L. 1989. Lebensraum: Paradoxically, Population Growth May Eventually End Wars. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 33 (March): 164-180.

Brooks. 2019. The demographic transition theory of war: Why young societies are conflict prone and old societies are the most peaceful (vol 43, pg 78, 2018). *International Security* 44(1): 200-.

McNamee, Lachlan and Anna Zhang. 2019. Demographic engineering and international conflict: Evidence from China and the former USSR. *International Organization* 73(2): 291-327.

Elections

Stoll, Richard J. 1984. The Guns of November: Presidential Reelections and the Use of Force, 1947-1982. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 28 (June): 231-246.

Business cycle

Thompson, William R. 1982. Phases of the Business Cycle and the Outbreak of War. *International Studies Quarterly* 26 (June): 301- 311. M. Kelley.

6. Domestic Institutions and Individual Decision-making (Nov. 3, 2021, ≈ 276pp.)

Foreign policy is made by political institutions. Does the process of decision making affect foreign policy? Is process a description or an explanation? Is there a decision making theory? Why the need to focus on process rather than purpose? What does it mean for outcomes to be dependent upon process or to be determined by process?

Why is the state being disaggregated? Does disaggregating the state require the shift to a decision making approach? Does disaggregating the state require abandoning the rational actor model? Are process arguments about imperfect information or decentralization? What are the relevant actors? Is there a theory of institutions? If we explain foreign policy by use of decision making models, what is the basis for distinguishing international relations as a subfield (i.e., what makes foreign policy different than other policy domains)? Is foreign policy a different issue area? Why? Don't all domestic policies have foreign consequences?

Foreign policy is also made by individuals. How do scholars demonstrate the explanatory utility of an individual-level approach? Is the appropriate level of analysis the individual or the group? What is the basis for deciding? Are these arguments universal or contextual? Does context act as a trigger? What is the role of beliefs? Are they driven by motives or not? Do contexts or actors define situations? Are crises inherent in a situation? Can you tell what is or is not a crisis? Is more information always better? Must our explanations of individual behavior conform to individuals' understanding of their situation, and to their explanations for their choices? What does the problem of cyclical majorities imply for the way we should go about explaining international politics (does it demand a unitary actor model)? Why are psychological studies self-falsifying (cf., economic arguments self-fulfilling)?

6.1 Organizational Culture

Legro, Jeffrey W. 1996. Culture and preferences in the international cooperation Two-step. *American Political Science Review* 90: 118-37.

6.2 Issue Areas

Lowi, Theodore J. 1967. Making Democracy Safe for the World: National Politics and Foreign Policy. In *Domestic Sources of Foreign Policy*, edited by James N. Rosenau, 295-331. New York: Free Press. Reprinted in Lowi, *The End of Liberalism* (New York: W. W. Norton, 1969, 1979).

6.3 Two-level games

Putnam, Robert D. 1988. Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of 2-Level Games. *International Organization* 42 (3): 427-460.

6.4 Individuals as Actors

Bueno de Mesquita, Bruce. 1981. *The war trap*. New Haven: Yale University Press, pp. 11-18.

Davis, James W. and Rose McDermott. 2021. The Past, Present, and Future of Behavioral Ir. *International Organization* 75(1): 147-177.

6.5 Heterogenous Leaders

Etheridge, Lloyd. 1978. Personality effects on American foreign policy, 1898-1968: a test of interpersonal generalization theory. *American Political Science Review* 72: 434-51.

6.6 Cognitive Process

Kahneman, Daniel. 2003. Maps of Bounded Rationality: Psychology for Behavioral Economics. *American economic review* 93 (5): 1449-1475.

Gronich, Lori Helene. 2017. Expertise and Naïveté in Decision-Making: Theory, History, and the Trump Administration. *H-Diplo ISSF POLICY Series America and the World—2017 and Beyond*, May 3. <http://issforum.org/ISSF/PDF/Policy-Roundtable-1-5AH.pdf>.

Johnson, Dominic D. P. and Dominic Tierney. 2018. Bad world: The negativity bias in international politics. *International Security* 43(3): 96-140.

6.7 Threat Perception

Stein, Janice Gross. 2013. Threat Perception in International Relations. In *The Oxford Handbook of Political Psychology*, 2nd edn., edited by Leonie Huddy, David O. Sears and Jack S. Levy. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

6.8 Crisis Situations

Hermann, Charles F. 1969. International crisis as a situational variable. In *International politics and foreign policy*, 2nd edn., ed. James N. Rosenau, 409-21. New York: Free Press.

For further study

Bureaucratic Politics (i.e., Organizational Process)

- Allison, Graham T. 1969. Conceptual models and the Cuban Missile Crisis. *American Political Science Review* 63: 689-718.
- Art, Robert J. 1973. Bureaucratic politics and American foreign policy: a Critique. *Policy Sciences* 4: 467-90.
- Ball, Desmond J. 1974. The Blind Men and the Elephant: A Critique of Bureaucratic Politics Theory. *Australian Outlook* 28: 71-92.
- Bendor, Jonathan, and Thomas H. Hammond. 1992. Rethinking Allison's models. *American Political Science Review* 86: 301-22.
- Krasner, Stephen D. 1972. Are Bureaucracies Important? (or Allison Wonderland). *Foreign Policy* (7): 159-79.
- Levy, Jack S. 1986. Organizational Routines and the Causes of War. *International Studies Quarterly* 30: 193-222.
- Welch, David A. 1992. The organizational process and bureaucratic politics paradigms: retrospect and prospect. *International Security* 17 (2): 112-.
- Williamson, Samuel R., Jr. 1979. Theories of Organizational Process and Foreign Policy Outcomes. In *Diplomacy: New Approaches in History, Theory and Policy*, ed. Paul Gordon Lauren. New York: Free Press.

Institutions and Decision Making

- James R. Kurth, Why We Buy the Weapons We Do, *Foreign Policy*, no. 11 (Summer 1973): 33- 56.
- Lindblom, Charles. 1959. The science of muddling through. *Public Administration Review* 19: 79-88.
- Rogowski, Ronald. 1999. Institutions as constraints on strategic choice. In *Strategic choice and international relations*, ed. David A. Lake, and Robert Powell, 115-36. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press.
- Henry Kissinger, Domestic Structures and Foreign Policy, *Daedalus* 59 (Spring 1966): 503-529. Reprinted in *American Foreign Policy* (New York: W. W. Norton, 1974).
- Hosoya, Chihiro. 1974. Characteristics of the Foreign Policy Decision-making System in Japan. *World Politics* 26: 353-369.
- Eriksen, Erik O. 2018. Getting to agreement: Mechanisms of deliberative decision-making. *International Theory* 10(3): 374-408.
- Malis, Matt. 2021. Conflict, Cooperation, and Delegated Diplomacy. *International Organization*. Forthcoming.

Issue Areas

- Zimmerman, William. 1973. Issue Area and Foreign-Policy Process. *American Political Science Review* 67: 1204-1212.
- Evangelista, Matthew. 1989. Issue-area and foreign policy revisited. *International Organization* 43 (1): 147-171.

Group decision making

- Janis, Irving L. 1982. *Groupthink: Psychological Studies of Policy Decisions and Fiascoes*. 2nd ed. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.

Individuals as Actors

- Frieden, Jeffrey A. 1999. Actors and preferences in international relations. in *Strategic choice and international relations*, ed. David A. Lake and Robert Powell, 39-76. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press.
- Hafner-Burton, E.M., S. Haggard, D.A. Lake and D.G. Victor. 2017. The Behavioral Revolution and International Relations. *International Organization* 71: S1-S31.
- Frolich, Norman, and Joe A. Oppenheimer. 1972. Entrepreneurial Politics and Foreign Policy. *World Politics* 24 (Spring): 151- 178.
- Williamson, Samuel R., Jr. 1974. Influence, Power, and the Policy Process: The Case of Franz Ferdinand, 1906-1914. *The Historical Journal* 17: 417-434.
- George, Alexander. 1969. The 'operational code': a neglected approach to the study of political leaders and decision-making. *International Studies Quarterly* 13: 190-222.
- Walker, Stephen G. 1983. The motivational foundations of political belief systems: a re-analysis of the operational code construct. *International Studies Quarterly* 27: 179-201.
- Horowitz, Michael C. and Matthew Fuhrmann. 2018. Studying Leaders and Military Conflict Conceptual Framework and Research Agenda. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 62 (10, SI): 2072-2086. Read pages 2074-2080.

Return of Human Nature

- Fowler, James H. and Darren Schreiber. 2008. Biology, Politics, and the Emerging Science of Human Nature. *Science* 322(5903): 912-914.
- Smirnov, Oleg, Holly Arrow, Douglas Kennett and John Orbell. 2007. Ancestral war and the evolutionary origins of "heroism." *Journal of Politics* 69(4): 927-940.
- Gat, Azar. 2009. So Why Do People Fight? Evolutionary Theory and the Causes of War. *European Journal of International Relations* 15 (4): 571-599.
- Abizadeh, Arash. 2011. Hobbes on the Causes of War: A Disagreement Theory. *American Political Science Review* 105(2): 298-315.
- Lopez, Anthony C. 2016. The Evolution of War: Theory and Controversy. *International Theory* 8(1): 97-139.
- Orme, John David. 2018. *Human Nature and the Causes of War*. Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.

Personality

- Friedlander, Saul, and Raymond Cohen. 1975. The personality correlates of belligerence in international conflict. *Comparative Politics* 7: 155-86.
- Shepard, G. H. 1988. Personality Effects on American Foreign Policy, 1969-84: A 2nd Test of Interpersonal Generalization Theory. *International Studies Quarterly* 32: 91-123.

Gender

- Schramm, M. and A. Stark. 2020. Peacemakers or Iron Ladies? A Cross-National Study of Gender and International Conflict. *Security Studies* 29(3): 515-548.
- Cohen, Dara Kay and Sabrina M. Karim. 2021. Does More Equality for Women Mean Less War? Rethinking Sex and Gender Inequality and Political Violence. *International Organization* 1-31.

Leader Attributes

- Chiozza, Giacomo, and H. E. Goemans. 2004. International conflict and the tenure of leaders: Is war still ex post inefficient? *American Journal of Political Science* 48 (3): 604-619.
- Schramm, Madison and Alexandra Stark. 2020. Peacemakers or iron ladies? A cross-national study of gender and international conflict. *Security Studies* 29(3): 515-548.
- Yarhi-Milo, Keren, Joshua D. Kertzer and Jonathan Renshon. 2018. Tying Hands, Sinking Costs, and Leader Attributes. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 62 (10, SI): 2150-2179.
- Carter, Jeff and Charles E. Smith, Jr. 2020. A Framework for Measuring Leaders' Willingness to Use Force. *American Political Science Review* 114(4): 1352-1358.

Leader Tenure (Experience)

- Smith, Bradley C. and William Spaniel. 2019. Militarized Disputes, Uncertainty, and Leader Tenure. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 63 (5): 1222-1252.

Social Psychological Theories

- Abelson, R. P. 1976. Social psychology's rational man. In *Rationality and the Social Sciences: Contributions to the Philosophy and Methodology of the Social Sciences*, eds. S. I. Benn, and G. W. Mortimore, 58-89. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

Larson, Deborah Welch. 1985. *Origins of Containment: A Psychological Explanation*, chap. 1, pp. 24-65. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Belief Systems

Renshon, Jonathan. 2008. Stability and change in belief systems: the operational code of George W. Bush. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 52 (6): 820-849. Read pages 820-833.

Cognitive processes

Snyder, Jack L. 1978. Rationality at the brink: the role of cognitive processes in failures of deterrence. *World Politics* 30: 345-65.

Kanwisher, Nancy. 1989. Cognitive heuristics and American security policy. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 33: 652-75.

Quattrone, George A., and Amos Tversky. 1988. Contrasting rational and psychological analyses of political choice. *American Political Science Review* 82: 719-36.

Butler, Christopher K. 2007. Prospect theory and coercive bargaining. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 51(2): 227-250.

Rapport, Aaron. 2017. Cognitive Approaches to Foreign Policy Analysis. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Politics*.

Misperception and motivated bias

Jervis, Robert. 1968. Hypothesis on Misperception. *World Politics* 20: 454-479.

Jack S. Levy, Misperception and the Causes of War: Theoretical Linkages and Analytical Problems, *World Politics* 36 (October 1983): 76-99.

Kertzer, Joshua D., Brian C. Rathbun and Nina Srinivasan Rathbun. 2020. The price of peace: Motivated reasoning and costly signaling in international relations. *International Organization* 74(1): 95-118.

Emotions

Crawford, Neta C. 2000. The Passion of World Politics: Propositions on Emotion and Emotional Relationships. *International Security* 24(4): 116-.

Renshon, Jonathan, Julia J. Lee and Dustin Tingley. 2017. Emotions and the Micro-Foundations of Commitment Problems. *International Organization* 71(1): S189-S218.

Gammon, Earl. 2020. Affective neuroscience, emotional regulation, and international relations. *International Theory* 12(2): 189-219.

Experts and predictions / intuition

Tetlock, Philip E. 2005. Quantifying the Unquantifiable. In *Expert Political Judgment*, 1-24.

Menand, Louis. 2005. Everybody's An Expert: Putting predictions to the test. *The New Yorker*, December 5.

Herrmann, Richard K. and Jong Kun Choi. 2007. From prediction to learning - opening experts' minds to unfolding history. *International Security* 31(4): 132-161.

Crises

Holsti, Ole R. 1989. Crisis Decision Making. In *Behavior, Society, and Nuclear War*, vol. 1, eds. Philip Tetlock, Jo Husbands, Robert Jervis, Paul Stern, and Charles Tilly, 8-84. New York: Oxford University Press.

Welch, David A. 1989. Crisis decision making reconsidered. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 33: 430-445.

Brecher, Michael. 1979. State Behavior in International Crisis: A Model. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 23: 446-480.

Peter Suedfeld and Philip Tetlock, Integrative Complexity of Communications in International Crises, *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 21 (March 1977): 169-184.

Paul A. Anderson, Decision Making by Objection and the Cuban Missile Crisis, *Administrative Science Quarterly* 28 (June 1983): 201-222.

Thorson, Stuart J., and Donald A. Sylvan. 1982. Counterfactuals and the Cuban Missile Crisis. *International Studies Quarterly* 26: 539-71.

Miscellaneous

Kaufman, Stuart J. 2019. War as symbolic politics. *International Studies Quarterly* 63(3): 614-625. individ

Downs, George W., and David M. Rocke. 1994. Conflict, agency, and gambling for resurrection: the principal-agent problem goes to war. *American Journal of Political Science* 38: 362-380.

Lamborn, Alan C. 1985. Risk and Foreign Policy Choice. *International Studies Quarterly* 29 (4): 385-410.

Jack S. Levy, Learning and foreign policy: sweeping a conceptual minefield. *International Organization* 48 (Spring 1994): 279-312.

7. International Political Economy and Networks (Nov. 10, 2021, ≈ 325 pp.)

The subfield of international political economy focuses on the cross-border movement of inputs to production (capital and labor) and the resulting products (trade). Arguments drawn from international relations more broadly have been applied to international economic relations. But economic theories have also been used as backdrops to explaining outcomes. Why? What do the economic theories provide? Why have cross-border movements increased? What are the implications of a growth in economic interdependence (globalization)?

Social network analysis has emerged as a technique for studying the connections/interactions of actors. What alternative conceptualizations and explanations does it provide?

7.1 Economic Interdependence

Keohane, Robert O. and Joseph S. Nye. 1977. Realism and Complex Interdependence. In *Power and Interdependence: World Politics in Transition*, pp. 23-37. Boston: Little, Brown.

7.2 Trade

Krasner, Stephen D. 1976. State Power and the Structure of International Trade. *World Politics* 28 (3): 317-347.

Stein, Arthur A. 1984. The Hegemon's Dilemma: Great Britain, the United States, and the International Economic Order. *International Organization* 38 (Spring): 355-386.

Goldstein, Judith. 1986. The political economy of trade: institutions of protection. *American Political Science Review* 80 (March): 161-84.

Rogowski, Ronald. 1987. Political Cleavages and Changing Exposure to Trade. *American Political Science Review* 81 (4): 1121-1137.

7.3 Finance

Frieden, Jeffrey A. 1991. Invested interests: the politics of national economic policies in a world of global finance. *International Organization* 45 (Autumn): 425-51.

7.4 Migration

Peters, Margaret E. 2015. Open Trade, Closed Borders: Immigration in the Era of Globalization. *World Politics* 67 (1): 114-154.

7.5 Weaponizing Economic Ties

Stein, Arthur A. 2012. Sanctions, Inducements, and Market Power: Political Economy of International Influence. In *Sanctions, Statecraft, and Nuclear Proliferation*, edited by Etel Solingen, 29-55. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Farrell, H. and A. L. Newman. 2019. Weaponized Interdependence: How Global Economic Networks Shape State Coercion. *International Security* 44(1): 42-79.

7.6 Networks

Jervis, Robert. 1979. Systems theories and diplomatic history. In *Diplomacy: new approaches in history, theory, and policy*, ed. Paul Gordon Lauren, Read the rest, pp. ≈ 226-244.

Maoz, Zeev. 2012. How Network Analysis Can Inform the Study of International Relations. *Conflict Management and Peace Science* 29 (3): 247-256.

Hafner-Burton, Emilie M., Miles Kahler and Alexander H. Montgomery. 2009. Network Analysis for International Relations. *International Organization* 63 (3): 559-592.

For further study**Economic interdependence (and the economic bases of peace)**

- Stein, Arthur A. 1993. Governments, economic interdependence, and international cooperation. In *Behavior, society, and international conflict*, ed. Philip Tetlock, Jo Husbands, Robert Jervis, Paul Stern, and Charles Tilly, 241-324. New York: Oxford University Press, for the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences. Read pp. 241-255, 265-280, 290-291.
- Stein, Arthur A. 2003. Trade and Conflict: Uncertainty, Strategic Signaling, and Interstate Disputes. In *Economic Interdependence and International Conflict: New Perspectives on an Enduring Debate*, edited by Edward D. Mansfield and Brian Pollins, 111-126. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Mousseau, Michael. 2019. The end of war: How a robust marketplace and liberal hegemony are leading to perpetual world peace. *International Security* 44(1): 160-.
- Oatley, Thomas. 2019. Toward a political economy of complex interdependence. *European Journal of International Relations* 25(4): 957-978.

Regime type, institutions, and IPE outcomes

- Mansfield, Edward D., Helen V. Milner and B. Peter Rosendorff. 2002. Why Democracies Cooperate More: Electoral Control and International Trade Agreements. *International Organization* 56 (3): 477-513.
- Kono, Daniel Y. 2006. Optimal Obfuscation: Democracy and Trade Policy Transparency. *American Political Science Review* 100(3): 369-384.
- Jensen, Nathan. 2008. Political Risk, Democratic Institutions, and Foreign Direct Investment. *Journal of Politics* 70 (4): 1040-1052.

Economic interests and foreign policy

- Fordham, Benjamin O. 2008. Economic Interests and Public Support for American Global Activism. *International Organization* 62(1): 163-182.

Parties, networks and investment

- Leblang, David. 2010. Familiarity Breeds Investment: Diaspora Networks and International Investment. *American Political Science Review* 104 (3): 584-600.
- Pinto, Pablo. 2013. *Partisan Investment in the Global Economy: Why the Left Loves Foreign Direct Investment and FDI Loves the Left*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Chapters 2 and 4.
- Johns, Leslie, and Rachel Wellhausen. 2016. Under One Roof: Supply Chains and the Protection of Foreign Investment. *American Political Science Review* 110 (1): 31-51.

Migration

- Pagden, Anthony. 2001. *Peoples and Empires : A Short History of European Migration, Exploration, and Conquest, From Greece to the Present*. New York: Modern Library.
- Fitzgerald, Jennifer, David Leblang and Jessica C. Teets. 2014. Defying the Law of Gravity: The Political Economy of International Migration. *World Politics* 66 (3): 406-445.

Interdependence and economic coercion

- Martin, Lisa L. 1993. Credibility, Costs, and Institutions: Cooperation on Economic Sanctions. *World Politics* 45 (3): 406-432.
- Drezner, Daniel W. 2003. The Hidden Hand of Economic Coercion. *International Organization* 57 (3): 643-659.
- Marinov, Nikolay (2005) Do Economic Sanctions Destabilize Country Leaders? *American Journal of Political Science* 49 (3): 564-576.
- Drezner, Daniel W. (2000) Bargaining, Enforcement, and Multilateral Sanctions: When Is Cooperation Counterproductive? *International Organization* 54 (1): 73-102.

Crises and challenges to the liberal order

- Nelson, Stephen C. and Peter J. Katzenstein. 2014. Uncertainty, Risk, and the Financial Crisis of 2008. *International Organization* 68 (2) : 361-392.
- Mearsheimer, John J. 2019. Bound to Fail the Rise and Fall of the Liberal International Order. *International Security* 43(4): 7-50.

Networks

- Taylor, Howard F. 1970. *Balance in Small Groups*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company.
- Healy, Brian, and Arthur Stein. 1973. The balance of power in international history. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 17 (March): 33-62.
- Maoz, Zeev, Lesley G. Terris, Ranan D. Kuperman and Ilan Talmud. 2007. What is the enemy of my enemy? Causes and consequences of imbalanced international relations, 1816-2001. *Journal of Politics* 69(1): 100-115.
- Maoz, Zeev, Ranan D. Kuperman, Lesley Terris, and Ilan Talmud. 2006. Structural equivalence and international conflict: a social networks analysis. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 50(5): 664-689.
- Maoz, Zeev. 2006. Network polarization, network interdependence, and international conflict, 1816-2002. *Journal of Peace Research* 43(4): 391-411.
- Ward, Michael D., Katherine Stovel and Audrey Sacks. 2011. Network Analysis and Political Science. *Annual Review of Political Science* 14(1): 245-264.
- Dorff, Cassy and Michael D. Ward. 2013. Networks, Dyads, and the Social Relations Model. *Political Science Research and Methods* 1(2): 159-178.
- Goddard, Stacie E. 2018. Embedded revisionism: Networks, institutions, and challenges to world order. *International Organization* 72(4): 763-797.

Miscellaneous

- North, Douglass, and Robert Thomas. 1970. An economic theory of the growth of the Western world. *Economic History Review* 2nd series, 23: 1-17. Skim
- Feis, Herbert. 1961. *Europe, the world's banker, 1870-1914: an account of European foreign investment and the connection of world finance with diplomacy before the war*. New York: Augustus
- Schwartz, Herman Mark. 2019. American Hegemony: Intellectual Property Rights, Dollar Centrality, and Infrastructural Power. *Review of International Political Economy* 26(3): 490-519.

8. International Institutions (Nov. 17, 2021, ≈ 304 pp.)

Why do states create international institutions? What role do they play in international politics? How are they designed? How do they differ? Why do states comply? Does law play a role in international politics and how does it compare to the role played by domestic law?

8.1 Why and How

- Keohane, Robert O. 1982. The Demand for International Regimes. *International Organization* 36 (2): 325-355. – SKIM
- Abbott, Kenneth W. and Duncan Snidal. 1998. Why states act through formal international organizations. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 42 (1): 3-32.
- Stein, Arthur A. 1982. Coordination and Collaboration: Regimes in an Anarchic World. *International Organization* 36 (2): 299-324. – SKIM
- Milgrom, Paul R., Douglass C. North, and Barry R. Weingast. 1990. The Role of Institutions in the Revival of Trade: The Law Merchant, Private Judges, and the Champagne Fairs. *Economics and Politics* 2 (1): 1-23.
- Martin, Lisa L. 2017. International Institutions: Weak Commitments and Costly Signals. *International Theory* 9 (3): 353-380.

8.2 Design

- Koremenos, Barbara, Charles Lipson and Duncan Snidal. 2001. The Rational Design of International institutions. *International Organization* 55 (4): 761-799.
- Barrett, Scott. 2016. Coordination Vs. Voluntarism and Enforcement in Sustaining International Environmental Cooperation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113(51): 14515-14522. Read around the math.
- Ostrom, Elinor, Joanna Burger, Christopher B. Field, Richard B. Norgaard and David Policansky. 1999. Revisiting the Commons: Local Lessons, Global Challenges. *Science* 284(5412): 278-282.

8.3 Power

- Brown, Theodore M. 2020. The United States and the World Health Organization. Blog, May 29. <http://www.cambridgeblog.org/2020/05/the-united-states-and-the-world-health-organization/>

8.4 Legitimacy

- Hurd, Ian. 1999. Legitimacy and authority in international politics. *International Organization* 53: 379-408.

8.5 Compliance

- Von Stein, Jana. 2005. Do treaties constrain or screen? Selection bias and treaty compliance. *American Political Science Review* 99: 611-622.
- Kelley, Judith. 2007. Who keeps international commitments and why? The international criminal court and bilateral nonsurrender agreements. *American Political Science Review* 101 (3): 573-589.

8.6 International Law and Courts

- Johns, Leslie. 2015. *Strengthening International Courts: The Hidden Costs of Legalization*, chap. 2, pp. 13-40. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

8.7 Pathologies

- Barnett, Michael N. and Martha Finnemore. 1999. The politics, power, and pathologies of international organizations. *International Organization* 53 (4): 699-732.

8.8 Application: Climate and health

Victor, David G. 2006. Toward Effective International Cooperation on Climate Change: Numbers, Interests and Institutions. *Global environmental politics* 6(3): 90-103.

For further study

Why and How

Young, Oran R. 1991. Political leadership and regime formation: on the development of institutions in international society. *International Organization* 45 (3): 281-308.

Evolution

Downs, George W., David M. Rocke and Peter N. Barsoom. 1998. Managing the evolution of multilateralism. *International Organization* 52 (2): 397-419.

Ward, Hugh, Frank Grundig and Ethan Zorick, R. 2001. Marching at the pace of the slowest: a model of international climate-change negotiations. *Political Studies* 49(3): 438-461.

Power and the realist critique of institutions

Grieco, Joseph M. 1988. Anarchy and the limits of cooperation: a realist critique of the newest liberal institutionalism. *International Organization* 42 (3): 485-507.

Mearsheimer, John J. 1994. The false promise of international institutions. *International Security* 19 (Winter): 5-49. Skim

Krasner, Stephen D. 2001. Abiding sovereignty. *International Political Science Review* 22 (3): 229-251

Gruber, Lloyd. 2005. Power politics and the institutionalization of international relations. In *Power in Global Governance*, edited by Michael Barnett and Raymond Duvall, 102-129. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Design

Lipson, Charles. 1991. Why are some international agreements informal? *International Organization* 45 (4): 495-538.

Rosendorff, B. Peter. 2005. Stability and Rigidity: Politics and the Design of the WTO's Dispute Resolution Procedure. *American Political Science Review* 99: 389-400.

Pelc, Krzysztof J. 2009. Seeking Escape: The Use of Escape Clauses in International Trade Agreements. *International Studies Quarterly* 53: 349-368.

Barrett, Scott. 2007. The Smallpox Eradication Game. *Public Choice* 130 (1/2): 179-207.

Barrett, Scott. 2012. Credible Commitments, Focal Points, and Tipping: The Strategy of Climate Treaty Design. In *Climate Change and Common Sense: Essays in Honour of Tom Schelling*, edited by Robert W. Hahn and Alistair Ulph. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Barrett, Scott. 2016. Collective Action to Avoid Catastrophe: When Countries Succeed, When They Fail, and Why. *Global Policy* 7 (Supp. 1): 45-55.

Voeten, Erik. 2019. Making sense of the design of international institutions. *Annual Review of Political Science* 22: 147-163.

Nature of goods/problems

John Gerard Ruggie, Collective Goods and Future International Collaboration, *American Political Science Review* 66 (September 1972): 874-893.

Snidal, Duncan. 1979. Public Goods, Property Rights, and Political Organization. *International Studies Quarterly* 23: 532- 566.

Stavins, Robert N. 2011. The Problem of the Commons: Still Unsettled After 100 Years. *American Economic Review* 101(1): 81-108.

Rosecrance, Richard and Arthur A. Stein. 2001. The theory of overlapping clubs. In *The new great power coalition: toward a world concert of nations*, edited by Richard Rosecrance, 221-234. Carnegie Commission on Preventing Deadly Conflict. Lanham, Maryland: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

Legitimacy

Voeten, Erik. 2005. The political origins of the UN Security Council's ability to legitimize the use of force. *International Organization* 59: 527-557.

Compliance

- Chayes, Abram, and Antonia Handler Chayes. 1993. On compliance. *International Organization* 47: 175-205.
- Downs, George W., David M. Rocke and Peter N. Barsoom. 1996. Is the good news about compliance good news about cooperation? *International Organization* 50 (3): 379-406.

International Institutions and Domestic Politics

- Snidal, Duncan and Alexander Thompson. 2003. International commitments and domestic politics: institutions and actors at two levels. In *Locating the proper authorities: the interaction of domestic and international institutions*, edited by Daniel W. Drezner, 197-230. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Fang, Songying. 2008. The informational role of international institutions and domestic politics. *American Journal of Political Science* 52(2): 304-321.

International Organizations as Networks

- Hafner-Burton, Emilie M., and Alexander H. Montgomery. 2006. Power Positions: International organizations, social networks, and conflict. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 50(1): 3-27.

International Law and Legalization

- Abbott, Kenneth W., Robert O. Keohane, Andrew Moravcsik, Anne-Marie Slaughter and Duncan Snidal. 2000. The Concept of Legalization. *International Organization* 54 (3): 401-419.
- Morrow, James D. 2007. When Do States Follow the Laws of War? *American Political Science Review* 101 (3): 559-572.
- Verdier, Pierre-Hugues and Erik Voeten. 2015. How Does Customary International Law Change? The Case of State Immunity. *International Studies Quarterly* 59 (2): 209-222.
- Hashimoto, Barry. 2020. Autocratic consent to international law: The case of the international criminal court's jurisdiction, 1998-2017. *International Organization* 74(2): 331-362.
- Putnam, Tonya L. 2020. Mingling and Strategic Augmentation of International Legal Obligations. *International Organization* 74(1): 31-64.

International courts

- Helper, Laurence R. and Erik Voeten. 2014. International Courts as Agents of Legal Change: Evidence from LGBT Rights in Europe. *International Organization* 68(1): 77-110.

Pathology

- Vreeland, James Raymond. 2019. Corrupting international organizations. *Annual Review of Political Science* 22: 205-222.
- Hafner-Burton, Emilie M. and Christina J. Schneider. 2019. The dark side of cooperation: International organizations and member corruption. *International Studies Quarterly* 63(4): 1108-1121.
- Gallarotti, Giulio M. 1991. The Limits of International Organization: Systematic Failure in the Management of International Relations, *International Organization* 45 (Spring): 183-220.

Prohibition regimes / taboos

- Price, Richard. 1995. A genealogy of the chemical weapons taboo. *International Organization* 49 (1): 73-103.
- Nadelmann, Ethan A. 1990. Global prohibition regimes: the evolution of norms in international society. *International Organization* 44 (4): 479-526.

Regional integration

- Haas, Ernst B. 1976. Turbulent fields and the theory of regional integration. *International Organization* 30: 173-212.
- Blatter, Joachim K. 2001. Debordering the world of states: Towards a multi-level system in Europe and a multiplicity system in North America? insights from border regions. *European Journal of International Relations* 7(2): 175-209.
- Pollack, Mark A. 2005. Theorizing the European Union: International organization, domestic polity, or experiment in new governance? *Annual Review of Political Science* 8: 357-398.

Multilateralism

- John Gerard Ruggie, Multilateralism: the anatomy of an institution, *International Organization* 46 (Summer 1992): 561-598.
- Martin, Lisa L. 1992. Interests, power, and multilateralism. *International Organization* 46: 765-792.

Institutional death and irrelevance

Gray, Julia. 2018. Life, Death, or Zombie? The Vitality of International Organizations. *International Studies Quarterly* 62(1): 1-13.

Maria Debre and Hylke Dijkstra. 2021. Institutional design for a post-liberal order: Why some international organizations live longer than others. *European Journal of International Relations*, forthcoming.

Miscellaneous

Milgrom, Paul R., Douglass C. North, and Barry R. Weingast. 1990. The Role of Institutions in the Revival of Trade: The Law Merchant, Private Judges, and the Champagne Fairs. *Economics and Politics* 2 (1): 1-23.

Young, Oran R. 1991. Political leadership and regime formation: on the development of institutions in international society. *International Organization* 45 (3): 281-308.

Martin, Lisa L., and Beth Simmons. 1998. Theories and empirical studies of international institutions. *International Organization* 52: 729-57.

Jervis, Robert. 1982. Security Regimes. *International Organization* 36 (2): 357-378.

9. Ideas and Ideology (Nov. 24 or Dec. 1, 2020, ≈ 283 pp.)

The concepts and structures you have read about are social constructions. This raises the role of ideas. What role do ideas play, and are they the basis for change, in international politics? Is international politics about ideologies and religions and identity conflicts?

Do argument and persuasion play a role in international politics? Are there international norms and moral principles and what role do they play? What are the implications of social constructions for international politics?

9.1 Social Constructions

- Wendt, Alexander. 1992. Anarchy is what states make of it: The social construction of state politics. *International Organization* 46: 391-425.
- Ruggie, John Gerard. 1998. What makes the world hang together? neo-utilitarianism and the social constructivist challenge. *International Organization* 52: 855-885.
- Finnemore, Martha and Kathryn Sikkink. 1998. International Norm Dynamics and Political Change. *International Organization* 52 (4): 887-917.
- Finnemore, Martha. 2003. *The Purpose of Intervention: Changing Beliefs About the Use of Force*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. Read Chapters 1, “The Purpose of Force” (pp. 1-23), and pages 24-27 of Chapter 2, “Sovereign Default and Military Intervention”.
- Barnett, Michael and Raymond Duvall. 2005. Power in International Politics. *International Organization* 59 (Winter): 39-75.
- Krasner, Stephen D. 1995/96. Compromising Westphalia. *International Security* 20, 3: 115-151.
- March, James G., and Olsen Johan P. 1998. The institutional dynamics of international political orders. *International Organization* 52: 943-69.

9.2 World society

- McNeely, Connie I. 2012. World Society Theory. In *The Wiley-Blackwell Encyclopedia of Globalization*, edited by George Ritzer, 1-5. John Wiley and Sons.

9.3 Morality

- Kreps, Sarah and Sarah Maxey. 2018. Mechanisms of Morality: Sources of Support for Humanitarian Intervention. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 62 (8): 1814-1842.

9.4 Rhetoric, arguing

- Risse, Thomas. 2000. “Let’s argue!”: Communicative action in world politics. *International Organization* 54 (1): 1-39.
- Stein, Arthur A. 2000. The Justifying State: Why Anarchy Doesn’t Mean No Excuses. In *Peace, Prosperity, and Politics*, edited by John Mueller, 235-255. Boulder, Colo.: Westview Press.

For further study

Sovereignty and the state

- Ruggie, John Gerard. 1993. Territoriality and beyond: problematizing modernity in international relations. *International Organization* 47 (1): 139-174.
- Krasner, Stephen D. 1999. *Sovereignty: organized hypocrisy*. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press, pp. 3-238.
- Krasner, Stephen D. 2001. Abiding Sovereignty. *International Political Science Review* 22, 3 (2001): 229-251.
- Paris, Roland. 2020. The Right to Dominate: How Old Ideas About Sovereignty Pose New Challenges for World Order. *International Organization* 74(3): 453-489.
- Friedrichs, Jörg. 2001. The meaning of new medievalism. *European Journal of International Relations* 7(4): 475-501.

- Grzymala-Busse, Anna. 2020. Beyond war and contracts: The medieval and religious roots of the European state. *Annual Review of Political Science* 23: 19-36.
- Roshwald, Aviel. 2015. On Nationalism. In *The Cambridge World History. Vol. 7, Production, Destruction, and Connection, 1750-Present. Part 1: Structures, Spaces, and Boundary Making*, edited by John R. McNeill and Kenneth Pomeranz, 306-330. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Norms

- Nyborg, Karine, et al. 2016. Social Norms as Solutions. *Science* 354(6308): 42-43.
- Mitchell, Ronald B and Charli Carpenter. 2019. Norms for the Earth: Changing the Climate on “Climate Change”. *Journal of Global Security Studies* 4 (4): 413-429.

Territorial Integrity Norm

- Zacher, Mark W. 2001. The Territorial Integrity Norm: International Boundaries and the Use of Force. *International Organization* 55(2): 215-250.
- Altman, Dan. 2020. The Evolution of Territorial Conquest After 1945 and the Limits of the Territorial Integrity Norm. *International Organization* 74(3): 490-522.

Memory / symbols

- Linklater, Andrew. 2019. Symbols and world politics: Towards a long-term perspective on historical trends and contemporary challenges. *European Journal of International Relations* 25(3): 931-954.

Exporting ideas / ideologies

- Pagden, Anthony. 2003. Human Rights, Natural Rights, and Europe’s Imperial Legacy. *Political Theory* 31(2): 171-199.
- MacKay, Joseph. 2019. Legitimation strategies in international hierarchies. *International Studies Quarterly* 63(3): 717-725.
- Grigoryan, Arman. 2020. Selective Wilsonianism: Material interests and the West’s support for democracy. *International Security* 44(4): 158-200.
- Rapport, A. 2008. Unexpected Affinities? Neoconservatism’s Place in IR Theory. *Security Studies* 17(2): 257-293.

World society

- Meyer, John W., John Boli, George M. Thomas and Francisco O. Ramirez. 1997. World Society and the Nation-State. *American Journal of Sociology* 103(1): 144-181.
- Meyer, John W. 2010. World Society, Institutional Theories, and the Actor. *Annual Review of Sociology* 36: 1-20.

Securitization

- Lupovici, Amir. 2019. Toward a securitization theory of deterrence. *International Studies Quarterly* 63(1): 177-186.
- Stritzel, Holger. 2007. Towards a Theory of Securitization: Copenhagen and Beyond. *European Journal of International Relations* 13 (3): 357-383.
- Williams, Michael C. 2003. Words, Images, Enemies: Securitization and International Politics. *International Studies Quarterly* 47: 511-531.

Post-positivism

- Biersteker, Thomas J. 1989. Critical reflections on post-positivism in international relations. *International Studies Quarterly* 33: 263-.

Post-modernist, feminist, interpretivist, reflectivist, and critical theory

- Enloe, Cynthia. 1990. *Bananas, beaches & bases: making feminist sense of international politics*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Peterson, V. Spike, ed. 1992. *Gendered states: feminist (re)visions of international relations theory*. Boulder, Colo.: Lynne Rienner.
- Rengger, Nicholas, and Ben Thirkell-white. 2007. Still critical after all these years? The past, present and future of critical theory in international relations. *Review of International Studies* 33(Supplement S1): 3-24.

Ideas

- Goldstein, Judith. 1989. The impact of ideas on trade policy: the origins of U.S. agricultural and manufacturing policies. *International Organization* 43 (Winter): 31-71.
- Yee, Albert S. 1996. The causal effects of ideas on policies. *International Organization* 50: 69-108.
- Ray, James Lee. 1989. The abolition of slavery and the end of international war. *International Organization* 43 (Summer): 405- 439.
- Pagden, Anthony. 2008. *Worlds at War: The 2,500-Year Struggle Between East and West*. Oxford University Press, USA.

Rhetoric, justification, argumentation

- Faude, Benjamin and Felix Grosse-Kreul. 2020. Let's justify! How regime complexes enhance the normative legitimacy of global governance. *International Studies Quarterly* 64(2): 431-439.
- Krebs, Ronald R. and Patrick Thaddeus Jackson. 2007. Twisting tongues and twisting arms: The power of political rhetoric. *European Journal of International Relations* 13(1): 35-66.
- Axelrod, Robert. 1977. Argumentation in foreign policy settings: Britain in 1918, Munich in 1938, and Japan in 1970. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 21(4): 727-756.
- Sundaram, S. S. 2020. Varieties of Political Rhetorical Reasoning: Norm Types, Scorekeepers, and Political Projects. *International Theory* 12(3): 358-386.

Epistemic communities

- Haas, Peter M. 1989. Do regimes matter? Epistemic communities and Mediterranean pollution control. *International Organization* 43 (Summer): 377-403.

Miscellaneous

- Keeley, James F. 1990. Toward a Foucauldian analysis of international regimes. *International Organization* 44 (1): 83- 105.
- Price, Richard. 2008. Moral limit and possibility in world politics. *International Organization* 62(2): 191-220.
- Kelley, Judith. 2008. Assessing the complex evolution of norms: The rise of international election monitoring. *International Organization* 62(2): 221-255.
- Finnemore, Martha, and Kathryn Sikkink. 2001. Taking stock: The constructivist research program in international relations and comparative politics. *Annual Review of Political Science* 4: 391-416.
- Klotz, Audie. 2002. Transnational activism and global transformations: The anti-apartheid and abolitionist experiences. *European Journal of International Relations* 8(1): 49-76.
- Pouliot, V. 2008. The logic of practicality: A theory of practice of security communities. *International Organization* 62(2): 257-288.

10. International Bases of Domestic Politics and Domestic Conflict (Dec. 1 or Dec. 8, 2021, ≈ 302 pp.)

What is the second-image reversed and what challenge does it pose to domestic explanations of foreign policy (and to comparative politics?) What facets of politics have been given second-image explanations? What are the implications of diffusion for international politics and for our explanations of political phenomena? What determines the size of nations (and thus, the number of them as well)? Why the rise of secessionist movements and political fragmentation in an era also characterized by political integration? Why the rise of ethnic conflict and what explains it? Is ethnic conflict simply warfare and no different than inter-state war? What explains terrorism? If terrorists are extremists and everyone knows they are, then what strategy of victory guides their choices? Why would weak non-state actors who cannot achieve a military victory attack states, including the most powerful of states? What explains the rise of political violence against civilians? What explains the coalitions and alignments of groups fighting a civil war? How do civil wars end? Do they end on their own or are external powers and the international system critical to civil war termination?

10.1 The Internal Impact of International Politics

10.1.1 Second-image reversed

- Tilly, Charles. 1990. How War Made States, and Vice Versa. In *Coercion, Capital, and European States, AD 990-1990*, 67-95. Cambridge, MA: Basil Blackwell. SKIM.
- Gourevitch, Peter. 1978. The Second Image Reversed: The International Sources of Domestic Politics. *International Organization* 32 (4): 881-912. Read only pages 881-900.
- Frieden, Jeffrey A. and Ronald Rogowski. 1996. The Impact of the International Economy on National Policies: An Analytical Overview. In *Internationalization and Domestic Politics*, edited by Robert O. Keohane and Helen V. Milner, chap. 2, 25-47. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

10.1.2 Globalization backlash

- Broz, J. Lawrence, Jeffrey Frieden and Stephen Weymouth. 2021. Populism in Place: The Economic Geography of the Globalization Backlash. *International Organization* 75(2): 464-494.

10.1.3 Diffusion

- Solingen, Etel. 2012. Of Dominoes and Firewalls: The Domestic, Regional, and Global Politics of International Diffusion. *International Studies Quarterly* 56 (4): 631-644.
- Hyde, Susan D. 2011. Catch us if You Can: Election Monitoring and International Norm Diffusion. *American Journal of Political Science* 55 (2): 356-369.

10.1.4 Size of nations

- Alesina, Alberto. and Enrico. Spolaore. 2003. *The Size of Nations*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, pp. 1-15.
- Wittman, D. 1991. Nations and states: mergers and acquisitions; dissolutions and divorce. *American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings*, 81: 126-9.

10.1.5 International Politics and Civil War Termination

- Kaufmann, Chaim. 1996. Possible and Impossible Solutions to Ethnic Civil Wars. *International Security* 20 (4): 136-175. Read only pp. 136-151, 159-161.
- Walter, Barbara F. 1997. The Critical Barrier to Civil War Settlement. *International Organization* 51 (3): 335-364.

10.2 IR models and domestic conflict

10.2.1 Ethnic conflict

- Tilly, Charles. 2002. Violence, Terror, and Politics as Usual. *Boston Review* 27 (3).
- Posen, Barry R. 1993. The Security Dilemma and Ethnic Conflict. *Survival* 35 (1): 27-47.
- Fearon, James D. 1998. Commitment Problems and the Spread of Ethnic Conflict. In *The International Spread of Ethnic Conflict: Fear, Diffusion, and Escalation*, pp. 107-126, edited by David A. Lake and Donald S. Rothchild. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

10.2.2 Terrorist Strategies

- Kydd, Andrew H. and Barbara F. Walter. 2006. The Strategies of Terrorism. *International Security* 31 (1): 49-80.

10.2.3 Civilian victimization

- Valentino, Benjamin A. 2014. Why We Kill: The Political Science of Political Violence Against Civilians. *Annual Review of Political Science* 17: 89-103.

10.2.4 Alignment and Alliance

- Christia, Fotini. 2012. *Alliance Formation in Civil Wars*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 3-16, 19-26.

For further study**Civil War**

- Toukan, Mark. 2019. International politics by other means: External sources of civil war. *Journal of Peace Research* 56(6): 812-826.
- Blattman, Christopher and Edward Miguel. 2010. Civil War. *Journal of Economic Literature* 48(1): 3-57.
- Fearon, James D. and David D. Laitin. 1996. Explaining interethnic cooperation. *American Political Science Review* 90 (4): 715-735.
- Fearon, James D. and David Laitin. 2003. Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War. *American Political Science Review* 97 (1): 75-90.
- Toft, Monica Duffy. 2002. Indivisible territory, geographic concentration, and ethnic war. *Security Studies* 12(2): 82-119. SKIM
- Mason, T. David and Patrick J. Fett. 1996. How civil wars end: A rational choice approach. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 40(4): 546-568.
- Gleditsch, Kristian Skrede, Idean Salehyan and Kenneth Schultz. 2008. Fighting at home, fighting abroad: how civil wars lead to international disputes. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 52(4): 479-506.
- Krasner, Stephen D. and Jeremy M. Weinstein. 2014. Improving Governance From the Outside in. *Annual Review of Political Science* 17: 123-145.
- Fortna, VP and LM Howard. 2008. Pitfalls and Prospects in the Peacekeeping Literature. *Annual Review of Political Science* 11: 283-301.

Terrorism

- de Figueiredo, Rui J. P., Jr. and Barry R. Weingast. 2001. Vicious Cycles: Endogenous Political Extremism And Political Violence. Berkeley: University of California, Institute of Governmental Studies, Working Paper 2001-9.
- Kydd, Andrew and Barbara F. Walter. 2002. Sabotaging the peace: The politics of extremist violence. *International Organization* 56 (2): 263-296.
- Bapat, Navin A. 2006. State bargaining with transnational terrorist groups. *International Studies Quarterly* 50(1): 213-229.
- Shughart, William F., II. 2006. An analytical history of terrorism, 1945–2000. *Public Choice* 128 (1-2): 7-39.
- Caplan, Bryan. 2006. Terrorism: The relevance of the rational choice model. *Public Choice* 128 (1-2): 91-107.
- Bueno De Mesquita, E. 2005. Conciliation, counterterrorism, and patterns of terrorist violence. *International Organization* 59(1): 145-176.

Effects of war

- Stein, Arthur A. and Bruce M. Russett. 1980. Evaluating War: Outcomes and Consequences. In *Handbook of Political Conflict: Theory and Research*, edited by Ted Robert Gurr, 399-422. New York: The Free Press.
- Stein, Arthur A. 1980. *The Nation at War*. Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Queralt, Didac. 2019. War, international finance, and fiscal capacity in the long run. *International Organization* 73(4): 713-753.
- Sambanis, Nicholas, Stergios Skaperdas and William C. Wohlforth. 2015. Nation-Building Through War. *American Political Science Review* 109(2): 279-296.

State size

- Lake, David A. and Angela O'Mahony. 2004. The incredible shrinking state: Explaining change in the territorial size of countries. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 48 (5): 699-722.
- McGuire, Martin C. 2002. Property distribution and configurations of sovereign states: A rational economic model. *Defence and Peace Economics* 13 (4): 251-270.
- Alesina, Alberto and Enrico Spolaore. 2005. War, peace, and the size of countries. *Journal of Public Economics* 89: 1333-1354.

Globalization and backlash

- Colantone, Italo and Piero Stanig. 2018. Global Competition and Brexit. *American Political Science Review* 112(2): 201-218.
- Stein, Arthur A. 2016. The Great Trilemma: Are Globalization, Democracy, and Sovereignty Compatible? *International Theory* 8(2): 297-340.

Miscellaneous

- Frieden, Jeffrey A. 1994. International investment and colonial control: a new interpretation. *International Organization* 48 (Autumn): 559-93.
- Owen, John M., IV. 2002. The foreign imposition of domestic institutions. *International Organization* 56, no. 2 (Spring): 375-409.
- Brown, Michael E. 1993. Causes and Implications of Ethnic Conflict. In *Ethnic Conflict and International Security*, edited by Michael E. Brown, 3-26. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.
- Cunningham, D.E. and D Lemke. 2013. Combining Civil and Interstate Wars. *International Organization* 67 (3): 609-627.

Concept Labeling

Scholars are often associated with a label or self-consciously characterize their approach with a label. Below are examples of label construction. They generate many possibilities; think about how many you have come across.

For isms creation: pick a suffix, pick a word from the middle column, and add an ism. Note that not all have been taken (I don't think meta and trans have yet been used) and new combinations are possible.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (blank) \\ neo \\ neoclassical \\ post \\ hyper \\ anti \\ proto \\ trans \\ meta \\ critical \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ideal \\ real \\ liberal \\ constructive \\ positive \\ rational \\ structural \\ institutional \\ functional \\ utilitarian \\ Marx \\ authoritarian \\ fascist \end{array} \right\} + \text{ism} = \text{Examples:}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} idealism \\ realism \\ liberalism \\ constructivism \\ \dots \\ neorealism \\ neoliberalism \\ \dots \\ neoclassical realism \\ \dots \end{array}$$

One can use the above content items as modifiers as well.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} idealist \\ realist \\ constructivist \\ positivist \\ rationalist \\ structural \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ideal \\ real \\ liberal \\ constructive \\ institutional \end{array} \right\} + \text{ism} = \text{Examples:}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} realist idealism \\ idealist realism \\ constructivist realism \\ realist constructivism \\ structural institutionalism \end{array}$$

One can also modify by a particular scholar's perspective.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} Thucydidean \\ Hobbesian \\ Lockian \\ Kantian \\ Marxian \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ideal \\ real \\ liberal \\ constructive \\ positive \\ rational \\ structure \end{array} \right\} + \text{ism} = \text{Examples:}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} Thucydidean realism \\ Hobbesian realism \\ Kantian liberalism \end{array}$$

A perspective or approach is an alternative to an ism. Pick a prefix, add the scholar's last name, and add the suffix.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} neo \\ post \\ anti \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Hobbes \\ Kant \\ Freud \\ Weber \\ Waltz \end{array} \right\} + \text{ian} = \text{Examples:}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} neo - Hobbesian \\ post - Weberian \\ anti - Waltzian \end{array}$$

The above hardly exhaust the possibilities. One can have a compound modifier to create a limited ism, such as *neo-Hobbesian realism* and *post-Kantian liberalism*.

Sources: Review essays

Besides the periodic review essay in a journal, most fields have annuals that just publish reviews of the literature:

Annual Review of Political Science, *Annual Review of Anthropology*, *Annual Review of Economics*, *Annual Review of Psychology*, and *Annual Review of Sociology*. There are also useful essays in encyclopedias and periodic reference volumes, for example: *International encyclopedia of the social sciences*, and *The new Palgrave: a dictionary of economics*, eds. John Eatwell, Murray Milgate, Peter Newman (New York: Stockton Press, 1987).

A number of publishers, including Cambridge, Oxford, Routledge, Wiley-Blackwell, and Sage, produce numerous edited volumes that contain literature reviews: There are many many volumes, some of which are quite specialized. Cambridge has companions to various scholars and excellent histories. Examples:

- Brown, Chris and Robyn Eckersley, eds. 2018. *The Oxford Handbook of International Political Theory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Carlsnaes, Walter, Thomas Risse-Kappen and Beth A. Simmons, eds. 2013. *Handbook of International Relations*. Second edition. Los Angeles: SAGE.
- Coyne, Christopher J. and Rachel L. Mathers, eds. 2011. *The Handbook on the Political Economy of War*. Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.
- Edwards, Louise, Nigel Penn and Jay Winter, eds. 2020. *The Cambridge World History of Violence. Volume 4: 1800 to the Present*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gheciu, Alexandra and William Curti Wohlforth. 2018. *The Oxford Handbook of International Security*. The Oxford Handbooks of International Relations. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gofas, Andreas, Inanna Hamati-Ataya and Nicholas Onuf, eds. 2018. *The Sage Handbook of the History, Philosophy and Sociology of International Relations*. Los Angeles: SAGE reference.
- Gurr, Ted Robert, ed. 1980. *Handbook of political conflict: theory and research*. New York: The Free Press.
- Hayden, Patrick. 2009. *The Ashgate Research Companion to Ethics and International Relations*. Ashgate research companion. Burlington, VT: Ashgate Pub. Ltd.
- Kerr, William A. and James D. Gaisford, eds. 2007. *Handbook on International Trade Policy*. Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar.
- Martin, Lisa L., ed. 2015. *The Oxford Handbook of the Political Economy of International Trade*. The Oxford Handbooks of International Relations. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Midlarsky, Manus I., ed. 1989. *Handbook of war studies*. Boston: Unwin Hyman.
- Reus-Smit, Christian and Duncan Snidal, eds. 2008. *The Oxford Handbook of International Relations*. The Oxford Handbooks of International Relations. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sabin, Philip, Hans van Wees and Michael Whitby, eds. *The Cambridge History of Greek and Roman Warfare*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sandholtz, Wayne and Christopher A. Whytock. 2017. *Research Handbook on the Politics of International Law*. Research Handbooks in International Law Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Springborg, Patricia, ed. 2007. *The Cambridge Companion to Hobbes's Leviathan*. Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Steele, Brent J. and Eric A. Heinze. 2018. *Routledge Handbook of Ethics and International Relations*. London: Routledge, Taylor and Francis Group.
- Tetlock, Philip, Jo Husbands, Robert Jervis, Paul Stern, and Charles Tilly, eds. 1989. *Behavior, society, and nuclear war*, vol. 1. New York: Oxford University Press, for the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences. Followed by a second volume in 1991, and a third volume entitled *Behavior, society, and international conflict* in 1993.
- Turner, Bryan S., ed. 2010. *Routledge Handbook of Globalization Studies*. New York: Routledge.
- Weingast, Barry R. and Donald A. Wittman, eds. 2006. *The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Datasets

There are lots and lots of datasets in the field, of wars, alliances, militarized interstate disputes, and so on. You have come across references to them in the readings. There is an increasing emphasis on scholars creating new datasets. Below are a few examples of newly unveiled datasets.

- Bell, Christine and Sanja Badanjak. 2019. Introducing Pa-X: A New Peace Agreement Database and Dataset. *Journal of Peace Research* 56(3): 452-466.
- Braithwaite, Jessica Maves and Kathleen Gallagher Cunningham. 2020. When Organizations Rebel: Introducing the Foundations of Rebel Group Emergence (Forge) Dataset. *International Studies Quarterly* 64(1): 183-193.
- Cil, Deniz, Lisa Hultman, Hanne Fjelde and Desiree Nilsson. 2020. Mapping Blue Helmets: Introducing the Geocoded Peacekeeping Operations (Geo-Pko) Dataset. *Journal of Peace Research* 57(2): 360-370.
- Haglund, Jillienne and Courtney Hillebrecht. 2020. Overlapping International Human Rights Institutions: Introducing the Women's Rights Recommendations Digital Database (Wr2d2). *Journal of Peace Research* 57(5): 648-657.
- Hou, Dongfang, Khusrav Gaibulloev and Todd Sandler. 2020. Introducing Extended Data on Terrorist Groups (Edtg), 1970 to 2016. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 64(1): 199-225.
- Kinne, Brandon J. 2020. The Defense Cooperation Agreement Dataset (Dcad). *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 64(4): 729-755.
- Maoz, Zeev, Paul L. Johnson, Jasper Kaplan, Fiona Ogunkoya and Aaron P. and Shreve. 2019. The Dyadic Militarized Interstate Disputes (Mids) Dataset Version 3.0: Logic, Characteristics, and Comparisons to Alternative Datasets. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 63(3): 811-835.
- Min, Eric. 2021. Interstate War Battle Dataset (1823-2003). *Journal of Peace Research* 58(2): 294-303.
- Pevehouse, Jon C. W., Timothy Nordstrom, Roseanne W. and McManus and Anne Spencer Jamison. 2020. Tracking Organizations in the World: The Correlates of War IGO Version 3.0 Datasets. *Journal of Peace Research* 57(3): 492-503.
- Wiegand, Krista E., Emilia Justyna Powell and Steven McDowell. 2021. The Peaceful Resolution of Territorial Disputes Dataset, 1945-2015. *Journal of Peace Research* 58(2): 304-314.

Twentieth-century IR classics

Note that some of the following are by economists, sociologists, and historians, not political scientists.

- Allison, Graham T. 1971. *Essence of decision: explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company.
- Angell, Norman. 1910. *The great illusion: a study of the relation of military power in nations to their economic and social advantage*. New York: G. P. Putnam's Sons.
- Aron, Raymond. 1967. *Peace and war: a theory of international relations*. New York: Frederick A. Praeger.
- Axelrod, Robert. 1984. *The evolution of cooperation*. New York: Basic Books.
- Boulding, Kenneth E. 1962. *Conflict and defense: a general theory*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Bozeman, Adda B. 1960. *Politics and culture in international history*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Bull, Hedley. 1977. *The anarchical society: a study of order in world politics*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Carr, E. H. 1940. *The twenty years' Crisis, 1919-1939: an introduction to the study of international relations*. London: Macmillan.
- Claude, Inis L. Jr. 1962. *Power and international relations*. New York: Random House, Inc.
- Cooper, Richard N. 1968. *The economics of interdependence: economic policy in the Atlantic community*. New York: Published for the Council on Foreign Relations by McGraw-Hill.
- Deutsch, Karl W., Sidney A. Burrell, Robert A. Kann, Maurice Lee Jr., Martin Lichterman, Raymond E. Lindgren, Francis L. Loewenheim, and Richard W. Van Wagenen. 1957. *Political community and the North Atlantic area: international organization in the light of historical experience*. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press.
- Gilpin, Robert. 1975. *U.S. power and the multinational corporation: The political economy of foreign direct investment*. New York: Basic Books, Inc.
- Haas, Ernst. 1964. *Beyond the nation state: functionalism and international organization*. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.
- Herz, John H. 1951. *Political realism and political idealism: a study in theories and realities*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- . 1959. *International politics in the atomic age*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1959.
- Hinsley, F. H. 1963. *Power and the pursuit of peace: theory and practice in the history of relations between states*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Hirschman, Albert O. 1945. *National power and the structure of foreign trade*. Berkeley, California: University of California Press.
- Hoffmann, Stanley. 1965. *The state of war: essays on the theory and practice of international politics*. New York: Frederick A. Praeger.
- Huntington, Samuel P. 1961. *The common defense: strategic programs in international politics*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- . 1957. *The soldier and the state: the theory and politics of civil-military relations*. Cambridge, Mass.: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Jervis, Robert. 1976. *Perception and misperception in international politics*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- . 1989. *The meaning of nuclear revolution: statecraft and the prospect of Armageddon*. Ithaca, N. Y.: Cornell University Press.
- Kaplan, Morton A. 1957. *System and process in international politics*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Katzenstein, Peter J., ed. 1978. *Between power and plenty: foreign economic policies of advanced industrial states*. Madison, Wisc.: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Kennan, George Frost. 1951. *American diplomacy, 1900-1950*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kennedy, Paul M. 1989. *The rise and fall of the great powers: economic change and military conflict from 1500 to 2000*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Keohane, Robert O., and Joseph S. Nye. 1977. *Power and interdependence: world politics in transition*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company.
- Kissinger, Henry A. 1973. *A world restored: Metternich, Castlereagh, and the problems of peace, 1812-22*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Krasner, Stephen D., ed. 1983. *International regimes*. Ithaca, N. Y.: Cornell University Press.
- Moon, Parker Thomas. 1926. *Imperialism and world politics*. New York: The Macmillan Company.
- Morgenthau, Hans J. 1948. *Politics among nations: the struggle for power and peace*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Olson, Mancur. 1982. *The rise and decline of nations: economic growth, stagflation, and social rigidities*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

- Rogowski, Ronald. 1989. *Commerce and coalitions: how trade affects domestic political alignments*. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press.
- Rosecrance, Richard N. 1963. *Action and reaction in world politics: international systems in perspective*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company.
- . 1986. *The rise of the trading state: commerce and conquest in the modern world*. New York: Basic Books.
- Russell, Frank M. 1936. *Theories of international relations*. New York: D. Appleton-Century Company.
- Schelling, Thomas C. 1960. *The strategy of conflict*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Snyder, Glenn H., and Paul Diesing. 1977. *Conflict among nations: bargaining and decision making in international crises*. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press.
- Spykman, Nicholas. 1942. *America's strategy in world politics: the United States and the balance of power*. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Company.
- Staley, Eugene. 1935. *War and the private Investor: a study in the relations of international politics and international Private Investment*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Steinbruner, John D. 1974. *The cybernetic theory of decision: new dimensions of political analysis*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Wallerstein, Immanuel. 1974. *The modern world-system I: capitalist agriculture and the origins of the European world-economy in the sixteenth century*. New York: Academic Press.
- Waltz, Kenneth N. 1959. *Man, the state and war: a theoretical analysis*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- . 1979. *Theory of international politics*. Menlo Park, California: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Wolfers, Arnold. 1962. *Discord and collaboration: essays on international politics*. Baltimore: The John Hopkins Press.
- Wright, Quincy. 1942. *A study of war*. 2nd ed. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Then there are classics that do not address, but are essential for, international relations. This list specifically excludes diplomatic histories which typically are essential works for scholars of international politics.

Diamond, Jared. 1997. *Guns, germs, and steel: The fates of human societies*. New York: W. W. Norton.

Elster, Jon. 1978. *Logic and society: contradictions and possible worlds*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

—. 1979. *Ulysses and the Sirens: studies in rationality and irrationality*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Festinger, Leon. 1964. *Conflict, decision, and dissonance*. London: Tavistock Publications.

Hirschman, Albert O. 1970. *Exit, voice, and loyalty: responses to decline in firms, organizations, and states*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Kelley, Harold H., and John W. Thibaut. 1978. *Interpersonal relations: a theory of interdependence*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Landes, David S. 1998. *The wealth and poverty of nations: why some are so rich and some so poor*. New York: W. W. Norton.

North, Douglass C. 1981. *Structure and change in economic history*. New York: Norton.

Olson, Mancur Jr. 1965. *The logic of collective action: public goods and the theory of groups*. New York: Schocken Books.

Schelling, Thomas C. 1978. *Micromotives and macrobehavior*. New York: Norton.

Walzer, Michael. 1977. *Just and unjust wars*.

Journals

Major international relations journals. They differ in their methodological and analytic orientations.

<i>European Journal of International Relations</i>	<i>Journal of Conflict Resolution (1957-)</i>
<i>International Organization</i>	<i>Journal of Peace Research (1964-)</i>
<i>International Security</i>	<i>Review of International Studies</i>
<i>International Studies Quarterly</i>	<i>Security Studies</i>
<i>International Theory (2009-)</i>	<i>World Politics</i>

Worthy of periodic attention, especially for specialized interests:

<i>Armed Forces and Society</i>	<i>International Journal</i>
<i>Australian Journal of International Affairs</i>	<i>International Journal of Intelligence and CounterIntelligence</i>
<i>British Journal of Politics and International Relations</i>	<i>International Negotiation (1996-)</i>
<i>Bulletin of Atomic Scientists</i>	<i>International Peacekeeping</i>
<i>Cambridge Review of International Affairs</i>	<i>International Politics</i>
<i>Chinese Journal of International Politics (2006-)</i>	<i>International Relations</i>
<i>Civil Wars</i>	<i>International Relations of the Asia Pacific</i>
<i>Conflict</i>	<i>International Studies Perspectives</i>
<i>Conflict Management and Peace Science</i>	<i>International Studies Review</i>
<i>Conflict, Security & Development (2001-)</i>	<i>Journal of Common Market Studies</i>
<i>Cooperation and Conflict</i>	<i>Journal of Economic Integration</i>
<i>Critical Military Studies</i>	<i>Journal of European Integration</i>
<i>Defense and Peace Economics</i>	<i>Journal of Genocide Research</i>
<i>Democracy and Security</i>	<i>Journal of Global Security Studies (2016-)</i>
<i>Diplomacy and Statecraft</i>	<i>Journal of International Affairs</i>
<i>Ethics and International Affairs</i>	<i>Journal of International Political Theory</i>
<i>Ethics and Global Politics</i>	<i>Journal of Military Ethics (2002-)</i>
<i>Ethnicities</i>	<i>Journal of Strategic Studies</i>
<i>European Journal of International Security (2016-)</i>	<i>Millenium</i>
<i>European Security</i>	<i>National Identities</i>
<i>Foreign Policy Analysis</i>	<i>Nationalities Papers</i>
<i>Geopolitics and International Boundaries</i>	<i>Nations and Nationalism</i>
<i>Global Affairs (2015-)</i>	<i>Nonproliferation Review</i>
<i>Global Governance</i>	<i>Perspectives on Terrorism</i>
<i>Global Society</i>	<i>Review of International Organizations</i>
<i>Global Summitry: Politics, Economics, and Law in International Governance (2015-)</i>	<i>Review of International Political Economy</i>
<i>Harvard International Review</i>	<i>Security Dialogue</i>
<i>Human Security Journal</i>	<i>Small Wars and Insurgencies</i>
<i>Intelligence and National Security</i>	<i>Studies in Conflict and Terrorism</i>
<i>International Affairs</i>	<i>Terrorism and Political Violence</i>
<i>International Interactions</i>	<i>World Trade Review</i>

More primarily policy oriented journals, include:

<i>Adelphi Papers</i>	<i>Survival</i>
<i>Bulletin of Atomic Scientists</i>	<i>The Round Table</i>
<i>Foreign Affairs</i>	<i>Washington Quarterly</i>
<i>Foreign Policy</i>	<i>World Affairs</i>
<i>The National Interest</i>	<i>World Economy</i>
<i>Orbis</i>	<i>World Policy Journal</i>
<i>Strategic Studies Quarterly</i>	<i>The World Today</i>

International relations articles also appear in general political science journals (the most important of which are *American Political Science Review*, *American Journal of Political Science*, and *Journal of Politics*).

<i>Administrative Science Quarterly</i>	<i>Philosophy and Public Affairs</i>
<i>American Political Science Review</i>	<i>Policy Sciences</i>
<i>American Journal of Political Science</i>	<i>Political Analysis</i>
<i>Annual Review of Political Science</i>	<i>Political Geography</i>
<i>Australian Journal of Political Science</i>	<i>Political Geography Quarterly</i>
<i>British Journal of Political Science</i>	<i>Political Psychology</i>
<i>Canadian Journal of Political Science</i>	<i>Political Research Quarterly</i>
<i>Comparative Politics</i>	<i>Political Science Quarterly</i>
<i>Comparative Political Studies</i>	<i>Political Studies</i>
<i>Economics and Politics</i>	<i>Politics and Economics</i>
<i>International Political Science Review</i>	<i>Politics and Society</i>
<i>Journal of Democracy</i>	<i>Polity</i>
<i>Journal of Experimental Political Science (2014-)</i>	<i>Public Choice</i>
<i>Journal of Politics</i>	<i>Public Opinion Quarterly</i>
<i>Journal of Theoretical Politics</i>	<i>Quarterly Journal of Political Science</i>
<i>Negotiation Journal</i>	
<i>Perspectives on Politics</i>	

Articles of interest to students of international relations also appear in journals in other fields and subfields.

<i>American Economic Review</i>	<i>Journal of Contemporary History</i>
<i>American Journal of International Law</i>	<i>Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization</i>
<i>American Journal of Sociology</i>	<i>Journal of Economic Literature</i>
<i>American Psychologist</i>	<i>Journal of Economic Perspectives</i>
<i>American Sociological Review</i>	<i>Journal of Interdisciplinary History</i>
<i>Annual Review of Anthropology</i>	<i>Journal of International Development</i>
<i>Annual Review of Psychology</i>	<i>Journal of Law and Economics</i>
<i>Annual Review of Sociology</i>	<i>Journal of Legal Studies</i>
<i>Comparative Studies in Society and History</i>	<i>Journal of Mathematical Sociology</i>
<i>Complexity</i>	<i>Journal of Military History</i>
<i>Critical Review</i>	<i>Journal of Modern History</i>
<i>Diplomatic History</i>	<i>Journal of National Security Law and Policy</i>
<i>Daedalus</i>	<i>Journal of Political Economy</i>
<i>Development and Change</i>	<i>Quarterly Journal of Economics</i>
<i>Economic Journal</i>	<i>Philosophy and Public Affairs</i>
<i>Ethnic and Racial Studies</i>	<i>Rationality and Society</i>
<i>Games and Economic Behavior</i>	<i>Social Networks</i>
<i>Global War Studies</i>	<i>Stanford Journal of International Law</i>
<i>International and Comparative Law Quarterly</i>	<i>Synthese</i>
<i>International History Review</i>	<i>The Manchester School</i>
<i>International Social Science Journal</i>	<i>The World Economy</i>
<i>Journal of Cold War Studies</i>	<i>Theory and Decision</i>
<i>Journal of Conflict Studies</i>	<i>Theory and Society</i>

Pieces of the Craft, UCLA, November 13, 2012
 How to Publish While in Graduate School
 Arthur Stein

On the writing and preparing:

Donovan, Stephen K. 2005. How to Alienate Your Editor: A Practical Guide for Established Authors. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing* 36 (4): 238-242. Read pp. 240-242.

Donovan, Stephen K. 2009. Putting Editors to Trouble (or People of That Sort). *Journal of Scholarly Publishing* 41 (1): 103-109.

Stimson, James A. n.d. Professional Writing in Political Science: A Highly Opinionated Essay. Paper, University of North Carolina. Retrieved from: www.unc.edu/~jstimson/Writing.pdf [Skip portions that are not relevant for you.]

On rejection:

Donovan, Stephen K. 2007. The Importance of Resubmitting Rejected Papers. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing* 38 (3): 151-155.

Weeks, Gregory. 2006. Facing Failure: The Use (and Abuse) of Rejection in Political Science. *PS: Political Science and Politics* 39 (4): 876-882.

Also recommended:

For reflections of a previous editor:

Zinnes, Dina A. 1985. Reflections of a Past Editor. *PS: Political Science and Politics* 18 (3): 607-612.

Data (the quantitative kind):

Lewis-Beck, Michael S. and Dena Levy. 1993. Correlates of Publication Success: Some AJPS Results. *PS: Political Science and Politics* 26 (3): 558-561.

No area of life is untouched by the self-help industry. Academic publishing is no exception. You might find some of the following helpful. But don't overdo it. Thinking about how to do it is no substitute for doing it (this comment extends to methodology more broadly). Nevertheless, a few suggestions follow.

Reflections and a workbook from someone who for many years taught a workshop on getting published for graduate students and junior faculty:

Belcher, Wendy Laura. 2009. *Writing your journal article in 12 weeks: a guide to academic publishing success*. Thousand Oaks, Calif.: Sage Publications. [This is a workbook.]

Belcher, Wendy Laura. 2009. Reflections on Ten Years of Teaching Writing for Publication to Graduate Students and Junior Faculty. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing* 40 (2): 184-200.

For advice on getting your dissertation published as a book:

Germano, William P. 2005. *From dissertation to book*. Chicago guides to writing, editing, and publishing. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Harman, Eleanor, Ian Montagnes, Siobhan McMenemy and Chris Bucci, eds. 2003. *The thesis and the book: a guide for first-time academic authors*. 2nd ed. Toronto: University of Toronto Press. [Especially chapter 4, Avoiding the warmed-over dissertation by William C. Dowling, and chapter 5, Thesis to book: what to get rid of and what to do with what is left by Olive Holmes.]

Jackson, Gerald and Marie Lenstrup. 2009. *Getting Published: A Companion for the Humanities and Social Sciences*. Copenhagen: NIAS Press. [Especially chapter 3, From thesis to book, which can be downloaded at http://www.niaspress.dk/files/excerpts/Jackson_extract.pdf]

[Jackson's blog, Getting Published: Comments and advice for academic authors, can be found at <http://gettingpublished.wordpress.com>]

Labella, Peter. 2005. The Other End of the Telescope (Tips from an Editor). *PS: Political Science and Politics* 38 (4): 747-748. [Part of a section, A Guide to Publishing Your First Book.]

Tips on a scholarly career, including getting published:

Boice, Robert. 1990. *Professors as writers: a self-help guide to productive writing*. Stillwater, Okla.: New Forums Press. [Boice has also written a lot on what makes for a successful junior faculty member and teacher.]

Hauptman, Robert. 2005. How to Be a Successful Scholar: Publish Efficiently. *Journal of Scholarly Publishing* 36 (2): 115-119.

Johnson, W. Brad and Carol A. Mullen. 2007. *Write to the top!: how to be a prolific academic*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan. [This emphasizes the social and psychological components.]

Moxley, Joseph Michael. 1992. *Publish, don't perish: the scholar's guide to academic writing and publishing*. Westport, Conn.: Greenwood Press.

Moxley, Joseph Michael and Todd W. Taylor, eds. 1997. *Writing and publishing for academic authors*. Lanham, Md.: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

Silvia, Paul J. 2007. *How to write a lot: a practical guide to productive academic writing*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

A useful listing of resources from UCLA Graduate Writing Center:
<http://gsrc.ucla.edu/gwc/resources/writing-in-the-social-sciences.html>

see also

<http://iis.berkeley.edu/content/dissertation-proposal-resources>